1	CITY OF SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO		
2			
3	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING PROCEEDINGS		
4			
5	CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT		
6			
7	HARBOR DISTRICT LIAISON SUBCOMMITTEE		
8	OF THE CITY COUNCIL		
9			
10			
11	Thursday April 28, 2016,		
12	3:30 - 5:26 p.m.		
13			
14	City Hall, City Manager's Conference Room		
15	400 Grand Avenue South San Francisco, California		
16			
17			
18			
19	Reported by: Kellie A. Zollars, CSR, RPR, CRR		
20	CSR License No. 5735		
21	UCCELLI & ASSOCIATES		
22	Certified Shorthand Reporters 1243 Mission Road		
23	South San Francisco, California 94080 Tel: 650.952.0774 Fax: 650.952.8688		
24	Silicon Valley: 408.275.1122 www.uccellireporting.com		
25	Email: reporters@uccellireporting.com		

1	INDEX			
2				
3	PAGE			
4	AGENDA ITEMS			
5				
6	1.	Call to Order	3	
7	2.	Public Comment		
8	3.	Discussion regarding Oyster Point	4	
9		Short-Term and Long-Term Flood		
10		Protection Plans required by the		
11		San Francisco Bay Regional Water		
12		Quality Control Board. (Brian McMinn,		
13		Public Works Director/City Engineer		
14		and Steve McGrath, General Manager)		
15	4.	Discussion regarding Joint Powers	48	
16		Authority Agreements between the		
17		San Mateo County Harbor District and		
18		the City of South San Francisco		
19	5.	Discussion of Committee's next steps	84	
20		and setting of future meeting dates		
21				
22	PUBLIC SPEAKERS:			
23				
24	Mr.	Ullom	66	
25				

```
1
                         PROCEEDINGS
 2
   APRIL 28, 2016
                                               3:30 P.M.
 3
                           -000-
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Tom, you were going to defer
 4
 5
    to me to open the meeting?
 6
            MR. MATTUSCH: That's correct.
 7
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Okay. Thank you.
 8
            So we'll go ahead and call this special
 9
    meeting of the liaison standing committee to order
    for this Thursday, April the 28th, and we'll have a
10
11
    roll call.
12
            CITY CLERK: Mayor Addiego.
13
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Here.
            CITY CLERK: Councilwoman Normandy.
14
15
            MS. NORMANDY: Present.
            CITY CLERK: Harbor Commissioner Mattusch.
16
17
            MR. MATTUSCH: Here.
            CITY CLERK: Harbor Commissioner Brennan.
18
19
            MS. BRENNAN: Yes.
20
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: And we do have a public
21
    comment portion to this public meeting. So if any
22
    member of the public who has assembled would like to
23
    address the subcommittee, now would be the time.
24
            And if not, we'll move on to the first item
25
    of business.
```

1 CITY CLERK: Discussion regarding Oyster 2 Point Short-Term and Long-Term Flood Protection 3 Plans required by the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. Brian McMinn, Public 4 5 Works Director/City Engineer, and Steve McGrath, 6 General Manager. 7 MAYOR ADDIEGO: So, Brian, would you like to 8 begin? 9 MR. McMINN: Thank you. I'll take everybody 10 through. I put in front of you a booklet that says 11 Item 3 Attachments. And basically these are just 12 documents of record that I'll reference as I kind of 13 take you through the chronology of the State Water Resources Control Board's order that was issued to 14 15 the City on December 9th and received by the City on December 10th. 16 17 Based on reports of periods of ponding water from a November 25th king tide, the Regional Board 18 19 issued an order, requirement for submittal of 20 technical reports. In that order they required that 21 the City submit a short-term flood protection 22 implementation schedule to address and correct 2.3 ponding and flooding at the landfill. And that plan 24 was due on January 30th, 2016. 25 The City subsequently applied for an

extension of that deadline. Although the board did not grant that extension, they said they would not -- that they would not pursue enforcement if the City submitted a short-term plan by February 30th that was suitable to the executive director.

The plan also -- the order also calls for a long-term flood protection plan and implementation schedule that documents and considers feasible options for achieving protection of the landfill from 100-year flood in the face of rising sea tides and increased flood frequency and intensity. That plan is scheduled and due for May 30th of 2016.

Subsequent to receipt of this order, staff from the Commission and the City got together and discussed bringing a proposal to the respective governing boards to share in the cost of preparing the plan to be submitted in response to this order.

The second document in the packet is a resolution from the City of South San Francisco put into effect on January 13th, 2016, to that effect for the cost sharing.

And then we have in the documents as well a February 17th letter from the board of harbor commissioners. And that is the agreement for funding the engineering studies at the Oyster Point

Marina. Basically the District's commitment as well and the City. And although the document that's in the packet is not fully executed, a fully executed copy has been provided to the general manager; and so both parties have agreed to those terms.

The City hired a consultant per the terms of the agreement, and that consultant prepared a topographic survey of Oyster Point to identify areas that were low, areas potentially subject to ponding during tides and rain events. And that became part of the short-term plan. From that topo the engineers identified those areas and called them out on a set of plans submitted to the Regional Board. And the plan calls for regrading those areas and repaving areas in areas that have pavement. That plan is shown on page 13 of the attachments here.

It also called for putting in some flat valves in some of the low-lying storm drains that drain to the bay area to prevent the tide waters from backing up into those drains and into the parking lots.

Along with that is a schedule to complete the work to mitigate those before the next winter storms. And the estimate for the cost of those repairs is currently at \$285,000.

1 The last document in the packet is a 2 March 21st letter from the Regional Water Quality 3 Control Board stating that they concur with the submittal of the short-term flood protection plan 4 5 and have accepted that. 6 Staff are currently working with the 7 consultant on the long-term plan to be submitted by 8 the end of March in accordance with the order. 9 that -- the outline of that plan is being prepared 10 to put in front of the Regional Board for an initial 11 review and kind of an acceptance of the direction 12 that it's going in so that when the final report is 13 submitted, that it will be informed that they're 14 expecting, and that will be shared with the harbor 15 general master -- general manager before that plan goes in, as well as opportunity for respective 16 17 bodies to get a review of that. 18 Thank you, Brian. MAYOR ADDIEGO: 19 So does anyone have a question of Brian 20 before we move on? 21 MS. BRENNAN: I do. Yeah. 22 So I just want to make sure I understand 23 correctly. So the engineering plan that has already 24 been completed, that's included in the information

you provided us, the cost for that was how much?

```
1
            MR. McMINN: 285,000.
 2
            MS. BRENNAN: Just for this plan.
 3
            MR. McMINN: For the short-term
 4
    implementation --
 5
            MR. MATTUSCH: Implementation.
 6
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: She's asking for just the
 7
    documents.
 8
            MR. McMINN: Oh, the document itself.
 9
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Yes.
            MR. McMINN: The invoice that we received to
10
11
    date was 17,000.
12
            MS. BRENNAN: Okay.
13
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: And the proposed short-term
14
    fix in the amount of 285- is what's agreed to in
    this final --
15
16
            MR. McMINN: That's to implement the
17
    short-term plan.
18
            MS. BRENNAN: Thank you.
19
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Anyone else?
20
            And so I guess the next natural step is to
21
    look at that $285,000 number and understand how we
22
    will be approaching that so...
23
            Did the Harbor District want to weigh in at
24
    this point or --
25
            MR. McGRATH: No, I think Brian's report was
```

1 pretty comprehensive. I do appreciate being brought 2 into the loop during the selection of the 3 consultant. And interested in seeing, actually, a bit of a breakout to come at some point how that --4 5 what comprises that 285-. 6 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Okay. So I think the last 7 time Tom and I met in this room with our respective 8 staffs, we knew that the city attorney was going to 9 be looking into past documents and to try to see 10 where responsibility might lie. And I guess he has 11 done enough of that where you're prepared to --12 MR. ROSENBERG: Yes, I can speak briefly to 13 that. Thank you. 14 Jason Rosenberg, City Attorney. 15 So we last spoke -- I know your counsel may have a different opinion as to responsibility of 16 17 allocation, but our position still remains the same, 18 and has been consistent all along, that the JPA 19 clearly states operation and maintenance of the park 20 and the appurtenant areas are the responsibility of 21 the Harbor District. 22 In my initial review of some of the 23 documents we were able to look at the Harbor

understanding. It's historically been interpreted

District seems to be consistent with that

24

that way by previous City staff, Harbor District staff, kind of correspondence acknowledging, "yeah, we'll do it." I think there's even been times where the Harbor District has done feasibility studies -- I think 2007, 2014 -- addressing the deteriorating parking lot, the need to address that issue. And there's been, you know, some acknowledgment of, you know, we -- I remember I think you and Matt saw something, I can't remember now, that the OES gave the Harbor District funding for addressing the sea wall, the riprap, that the Harbor District ended up using to do that.

So it kind of is consistent with the position we've set forth before, that this is -- the vast majority of this is the need to regrade this parking lot that has been -- as far as we can tell, has not been done in a really, really long time; and it just needs to be done. And I think previous Harbor District board or staff has said, you know, this needs to be done, and where are we -- there's money been budgeted, it's not been spent. And there's times the money hasn't been budgeted. And that's kind of from a high level, without, you know, going through all the documents bit by bit.

And, you know, I'm happy to share what I do

```
have -- not with me now, but the documents with
 1
 2
    counsel or staff -- if you want to kind of see where
 3
    I'm going with that.
            MS. BRENNAN: I have a question. Could you
 4
 5
    provide us with that information, like, on a cloud
 6
    server or something so we can download it?
 7
            MR. ROSENBERG: Sure. Yeah. I mean --
 8
            MR. FUTRELL: Let me first make clear I
 9
    believe the documents you're referring to were
10
    obtained from the Harbor District as a result of the
11
    public records request. So they're already
12
    documents that we got from you guys. The city
13
    attorney has gone through those and, as I appreciate
14
    it, has pulled out a history of the Harbor District
15
    taking responsibility for this issue over a long
    period of time is documented in those documents.
16
17
    I think you already have those documents.
18
            MS. BRENNAN: Well, just to clarify, I think
19
    that the PRA request was for many --
20
            MR. FUTRELL: Yes.
21
            MS. BRENNAN: -- thousands of documents.
22
    And so instead of -- you know, I have a day job.
23
    instead of looking for a needle in a haystack, it
24
    would be really helpful if we could see the specific
25
    documents that relate --
```

```
1
            MR. FUTRELL: Sure. Absolutely.
 2
            MS. BRENNAN: -- to what we're talking
 3
    about.
 4
            MR. ROSENBERG: Absolutely. No problem.
 5
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: and I can't imagine why we
    wouldn't do that.
 6
 7
            MR. FUTRELL: As to the technical, we will
 8
    attempt to navigate the cloud. If not, we'll
 9
    provide them to --
10
            MS. BRENNAN: Whatever works.
11
            MR. McGRATH: I think we have a record of
12
    that as well. Of the specific documents that you
13
    requested copies of. I mean, we accumulated a large
    number of documents.
14
15
            MR. ROSENBERG: Yeah.
            MR. McGRATH: I think you only --
16
17
            MR. ROSENBERG: I can share with you the
    documents --
18
19
            MR. McGRATH: -- pulled out the documents --
20
            MR. ROSENBERG: -- that I think are the most
21
    relevant.
22
            MR. McGRATH: But that would be helpful.
23
            MR. ROSENBERG: They're all public.
24
            MR. McGRATH: Yeah.
25
            MR. ROSENBERG: I mean, they're your
```

```
1
    documents.
 2
            MR. McGRATH: All right. Yeah.
                                              Sure.
 3
            MR. FUTRELL: And it's not our intent to
    create work or hide the ball.
 4
 5
            MR. McGRATH: Understood.
            MR. MILLER: Can I jump in?
 6
 7
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Please.
 8
            MS. BRENNAN: Could he sit up here so --
 9
            MR. McGRATH: You should if you can.
10
            MR. MILLER: Sure.
11
            I just wonder whether it wouldn't be helpful
12
    if everybody, in addition to just providing some
13
    documents, to provide an explanation what you think
14
    those documents say.
15
            When we met last time I think -- my
    recollection is we had a discussion that your to-do
16
17
    list, Jason, was going to be to put down in writing
18
    why -- some support for the opinion you had then and
19
    you continue to have now.
20
            And we're open to hearing what you have to
21
    say; but I think it would be helpful, rather than
22
    just say "Look at Documents X, Y, and Z," to say
2.3
    "And we think they say the following."
24
            MR. FUTRELL: Okay.
25
            Now, could we do so under the cloak of
```

```
settlement negotiations or something that would
 1
 2
    preclude their use in subsequent proceedings?
 3
            MR. MILLER: So I'm happy to -- maybe Jason
 4
    and I need to talk offline about that. I mean, I
 5
    think --
 6
            MR. ROSENBERG: Well, why don't we just
 7
    start with the documents that are public, and then
 8
    maybe -- I mean, I think it looks like a sequel
 9
    exception that the Harbor District filed for
10
    addressing, you know, flooding. So I think that
11
    speaks for itself. So some stuff I think very much
12
    speaks for itself, and I can provide it to you. And
13
    then if you still want briefing on that, so to
14
    speak.
15
            MR. MILLER: It's not so much briefing. And
    I do recall, I mean, having this conversation
16
17
    because if -- we were wondering why we hadn't heard
18
    from you after our last meeting. And if it's
19
    because of your concern that they be made public, we
20
    should address that concern.
21
            Interesting I hadn't thought of this issue
22
    as being part of a settlement discussion so I have
23
    to think about that a little bit.
24
            MR. FUTRELL: I'd be interested to hear
25
    Jason's --
```

MS. BRENNAN: Could I ask a question?

Because I'm getting a little lost here. What does that mean, be part of settlement proceedings? I'm not an attorney so I don't understand what that means.

MR. FUTRELL: From my perspective -- first, to answer your earlier question, we had decided to wait for this liaison committee to give the proper officials the opportunity to work this out as opposed to being a staff-to-staff discussion. At some point it needs to be elevated to the proper officials. The concern that I have is that if we are unable to reach an accord on who is responsible for payment, there is the potential for litigation. And I don't think any of us want our discussions to become part of evidence at some subsequent litigation. So it allows the parties to more freely discuss without fear that later in some deposition something that you said in this meeting would be used against you or against us.

MR. MILLER: Let me be clear. What I was hoping for was not an offer that could be construed as something that you wouldn't want to make public in a settlement. I just want to understand. I've heard you say now a bunch of times we think the

```
District is responsible, and you've heard us say a
 1
 2
    bunch of times we don't understand why you think
 3
    we're responsible. So I just want to learn more.
    May be that you'll write a great letter, and we'll
 4
 5
    go, oh, we get it. But we're not getting it right
 6
         We need some help getting it. So that's what
 7
    I'm looking for. I don't think I'm looking for
 8
    anything that would be --
 9
            MR. ROSENBERG: Well, maybe we can have a
    discussion off line --
10
11
            MR. MILLER: That sounds great.
            MR. ROSENBERG: I think that would be the
12
13
    most fruitful solution.
14
            MR. MILLER: Sounds good.
15
            MR. ROSENBERG: Yeah.
            MR. MATTUSCH: This is just a discussion of
16
17
    operation and maintenance of the marina versus
18
    maintenance of the land itself, that we don't own;
19
    and I think that's what we have to kind of clear up
20
    just a little bit.
21
            MR. ROSENBERG: Well, the JPA deals with the
22
    appurtenances as well. Park, pathways, appurtenant
23
    improvements as well.
            MR. McGRATH: An appurtenance is?
24
25
            MR. ROSENBERG: Things attached to the area.
```

```
The land.
 1
 2
            MR. McGRATH: Attached to the land?
 3
            MR. ROSENBERG: Yes.
            MR. McGRATH: But if the land is sinking...
 4
 5
            MR. ROSENBERG: It's still the land.
 6
            MR. MATTUSCH: I guess when some of us read
 7
    the JPA, though, we say the JPA is quite clear on
 8
    the land is the responsibility of the City and
 9
    maintaining the operation of a marina is the
10
    responsibility of the Harbor District. Or at least
11
    that's the way I was reading it. And I think that
12
    we're looking at running a marina and trying to do
13
    so in total favor of everyone here; but my
14
    interpretation at this point is that sinking land
15
    doesn't fall into a simple maintenance agreement.
16
    Maintenance is cutting the grass, trimming a tree.
17
    It's not raising the level of the land by two to
    three feet.
18
19
                         So I was looking at this
            MS. BRENNAN:
20
    document here, which I'm sure you all have a copy
21
    of, the geotechnical investigation. Which is --
22
    let's see what date. October 20th, 1976. And this
2.3
    was produced for the City of South San Francisco.
24
    And it talks in here about the landfill.
25
    looked for this document because I was trying to
```

understand a little bit more about how the landfill was constructed. And it has a section on page 10 which talks about settlement. And it says right here, the very first sentence, "surface settlements at this site" of as much -- "as much as 6 to 7 feet are anticipated under existing conditions."

So I take this to mean that the City knew that there was going to be a significant amount of subsidence over the years. Obviously we don't have any idea when that subsidence is going to stop. could be continuing for the next few decades. And it's my understanding that the flooding that's been happening but -- over the last few decades, but been getting progressively worse as the subsidence increases and as sea level rises. So it's an unfortunate double-whammy situation where we've got subsidence compounded by sea level rise. And, you know, it's -- it's a landfill combined with Mother Nature, so that's kind of what's happening here. And I guess I'm having a hard time understanding how the Harbor District, which is responsible for managing the marina, could be responsible for the subsidence that was clearly anticipated when the landfill was constructed.

So those are questions that come up for me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

And it sounds like our counsel, the two counsels, are going to have some off-line discussion; but I hope that whatever comes out of that discussion informs the City Council as well as the Harbor Commission so that we're in the loop and we're learning, you know. I think that there's a lot to learn. I think, you know, this issue with the subsidence and with sea level rise, it's been going on for a really long time; but it just hasn't been looked at because it didn't reach the tipping point where people were coming to public meetings and complaining about it.

And that's sort of how this all came up, is we had a member of the public come and speak, and his chief complaint was that the Harbor District paid for a guest dock and the guest dock happens to be located right near the harbor master's office.

And I don't remember how much we paid for the guest dock; but, anyway, it's really a nice facility. And the complaint that he was making is that when you -- when you disembark from the docks, if the tide is high, you step into water or you can't get off the dock because it's flooded, and that flooding is increasing over time. And so it's starting to impact the infrastructure that the

District has put in place, like our floating docks and things like that, where, fortunately, the docks 3 float so they're rising, but the land isn't. So when you step off the docks, you're in a flood. And so that is a problem, you know, that 6 needs to get sorted out. And, fortunately, it's not 7 the whole area, it's only certain sections of Oyster So, fortunately, the subsidence hasn't impacted some of the higher ground. Although those areas have also experienced significant subsidence. You can feel that when you drive out. It's like a 12 roller coaster. I mean, we've all driven out there. 13 But it's -- but the landfill was high enough in those points where the subsidence isn't impacting --15 or flooding isn't occurring. 16

So, you know, it is an issue that I think needs to get resolved; and it would be in the public's best interest to find a solution.

But I guess my take on it is just that I see us as the sort of the managers of the marina, and I see South San Francisco as the owners of the land or the landlords and the beneficiaries of any future development that might happen out there, which I would think could be quite profitable down the road. And so it would seem that it would be most

1

2

4

5

8

9

10

11

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

beneficial for the City to maintain the landfill so 1 2 that that future development would -- there would be 3 more opportunity. And, you know, being that the JPA expires in 4 5 10 years, it doesn't seem very logical for the 6 District to invest a lot of money into a property 7 that the District doesn't own and only has a 10 year 8 commitment left on. So that's -- I mean, that sort 9 of sums up my perspective on it. 10 But at the same time, you know, I think we 11 did -- we did offer to help with the cost of that 12 even though it's not that much compared to the cost 13 of the short-term project. We did offer to 14 contribute towards the engineering study. And I'm 15 very interested in hearing more about the long-term 16 plan and what that -- have we -- is there any 17 updates on what -- where that's headed? 18 MR. McMINN: The consultants put together a 19 I haven't -- I just got it yesterday, but I draft. 20 need to review it and then share it with --21 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Is that the document that 22 has to be done by the end of May? 2.3 MR. McMINN: Yes. Yes. 24 MAYOR ADDIEGO: I think Commissioner Brennan 25 brings up a good point because we're dealing with --

```
1
    we're dealing with ponding. So that ponding, as
 2
    shown on page 13, is, you know, sporadic throughout
 3
    the entire area. But I think of greater concern is
    the observation that the relatively new guest dock,
 4
 5
    that entire peninsula is impacted, is flooded in a
 6
    big way.
 7
            Our short-term fix, I quess, doesn't need to
 8
    address that because it was just a ponding issue;
 9
    but, you know, there is infrastructure that's in
10
    harm's way and will continue to be. So it's a much
11
    greater fix at some point.
12
            MR. FUTRELL: I don't believe I have the
13
    1976 study. Was that in the Harbor District
14
    records?
15
            MS. BRENNAN: Fabulous read. I highly
16
    recommend it. Thankfully, it is double spaced.
17
            MR. McGRATH: I didn't go through those
18
    records personally. I would assume so. I believe
19
    it's -- it's out there.
20
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Geotechnical --
21
            MS. BRENNAN: I have a pdf I could provide.
22
            MR. McGRATH: And it's on our website.
23
            MR. FUTRELL: That would be excellent.
24
            It's on your website? Okay.
25
            (Simultaneous overlapping speakers.)
```

```
1
            MR. FUTRELL: I can just grab that. Thank
 2
    you.
 3
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: And you haven't powered
 4
    through that yet, Mr. Rosenberg?
 5
            MR. ROSENBERG: I have not.
 6
            MR. FUTRELL: I'm only back to 1982 --
 7
            MR. McGRATH: I think we discovered it in
 8
    preparing the responses so it should be somewhere;
 9
    but if you don't have it --
10
            MR. FUTRELL: Understand. Thank you very
11
    much.
12
            (Simultaneous overlapping speakers.)
            THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Can you try not
13
    to talk all at the same time.
14
15
            MR. FUTRELL: I apologize.
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: We're government people,
16
17
    we're prone to do that.
18
            MS. BRENNAN: So just back to the long-term
19
    plan for a sec. So that draft is out. And so we
20
    might be able to see a draft of it soon?
21
            MR. McMINN: The outline. Once I take a
22
    look at it, see if that's something that we want to
23
    be going in that direction. So I would say probably
    by next week we'll probably be sharing that.
24
25
            MS. BRENNAN: I did want to chime in, too,
```

1 and just mention that we had a site visit for the first time, I think, since I've been on the board, 2 3 this week. It was a publicly noticed meeting at Oyster Point. And we had a little visit with Jim 4 5 Merlo, who is our harbormaster there; and he gave us 6 a tour. One of the things we looked at, since you 7 mentioned the peninsula where the quest dock is, is 8 we took a look at the harbormaster's office. 9 maybe you're all aware of this, but I'm going to 10 mention it in case you're not. When you look at 11 that building, there's a skirt around the bottom of 12 the building and there's a ramp that goes up to the 13 door. When the building was originally placed 14 there, the ramp wasn't there and the skirt wasn't 15 there because the land was at least 3 to 4 feet 16 higher. And so what's happened is the land has 17 compressed and subsided by 3 to 4 feet; and so now 18 that structure is just kind of floating on piers. 19 So if you walk around the side of the building, the 20 skirt's open, and you can look underneath and see 21 the piers there. And you can also see where we've 22 had to add all kinds of flexible cabling and conduit 23 to connect our, you know, sewer and water --24 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Utilities. 25 MS. BRENNAN: -- and electrical.

```
1
            So we've had to add these extensions to, you
 2
    know, accommodate the level of subsidence. So I
 3
    think that that building really best illustrates,
    you know, the level of subsidence over the years.
 4
 5
    And it's kind of shocking; but if you were just to
 6
    walk up, you wouldn't really know.
                                         I mean, you
 7
    would have no way of telling that that was going on.
 8
    So, you know, it's a lot of subsidence; but
 9
    according to this document it could have been
    6 feet.
10
11
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: It may not be done.
12
            MS. BRENNAN: It may not be done. That's
13
    true.
14
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: It's interesting,
15
    Commissioner Brennan, if you look two, three, or
    four story office buildings and they're not on
16
    landfill but it was maybe poorly compacted bay fill
17
    and the same situation has occurred to that
18
19
    building. So there has been some major reinvestment
20
    to stabilize and keep track of the utilities. It's
21
    the price you pay for that beautiful bay view.
22
            MS. BRENNAN: And it is a beautiful view, no
2.3
    doubt.
24
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: So I'm in a little bit of a
25
    quandry how to proceed. I think it might be
```

valuable to have a discussion between electeds. 1 2 Most often there's not a definitive answer, 3 especially when we're dealing with documents that go back 40 years. Not necessarily definitive answer 4 5 that it's all on the City or all on the Harbor 6 District. We don't usually get there no matter how 7 much we position ourselves. So it might tend to be 8 more of a gray area. And maybe we should explore, 9 at least on this initial fix, if there's any sense that there could be a partnership to some degree. 10 11 MR. MATTUSCH: Is there any --12 MAYOR ADDIEGO: If it's purely a 13 tenant-landlord, then I do understand that position. 14 At the same time there's some other language that 15 led me to believe that there was some responsibility 16 too. 17 MR. MATTUSCH: Have we researched whether 18 scavenger had any reserves, funds, or protection 19 against this when the landfill was being built and 20 completed and the cap was originally installed? 21 MR. ROSENBERG: We didn't find anything in 22 our review about that issue. You mentioned, like, a 23 pot of money or something. 24 MR. MATTUSCH: There was some set aside 25 rumored.

1 MR. ROSENBERG: Unfortunately, not. We did 2 not find that. Yeah. And to date we have not 3 discovered that. I mean, there is a lot of records and some of them are incomplete, and there's 4 5 something that tells a story that you don't get the 6 last chapter on some of these records and it's, you know... But I have not found anything yet. 7 8 MS. BRENNAN: Well, you know, when you look 9 at this, this is pretty daunting. This is page 17 out of the --10 11 MAYOR ADDIEGO: It's our future. 12 MS. BRENNAN: -- Terra Tech -- it says 13 potential inundation areas. And I thought this was 14 really interesting because I've been going to some 15 of the County sea level rise planning meetings, and this area is actually not really documented 16 17 correctly now that I see this. I mean, this tells 18 sort of a different story than what we're seeing on 19 Our Coast Our Future, the website where you can go 20 through all the mapping and look at the sea level 21 rise projections. 22 You know, it's a complicated situation; and, 23 you know, I think at this point I would want to 24 evaluate more information. 25 And I'd be really interested to see what the long-term plan looked like. I know with the JPA, when I read it, it feels so outdated. You know, it's so ancient. And it hardly seems relevant to the current situation. I know I've asked our counsel in the past wouldn't it make sense to just toss this thing in the garbage and, like, start with a new agreement of some sort because it just doesn't seem relevant today. It talks about things like a master plan, and no one can find the master plan. I mean, it's just kind of absurd.

So it's really tough to grapple with this, and, you know, figure out what to do about it. I guess if I better understood our future engagement, you know, if it -- if we're looking at a 10-year end date to the -- or possibly earlier, depending on, you know, I think what the City would want to do, and I don't know what will happen with development plans. But I guess if we had a more clear future picture of what was going to happen there, it would be easier to assess what would make sense as a partnership.

MAYOR ADDIEGO: Sure.

MS. BRENNAN: And I think that's one of the areas where this is just almost an impossible task to sort out. So I guess -- you know, I could spend

1 hours, like, asking questions that are not on the 2 agenda so I'm not going to do that about, you know, 3 the EIR from -- what was it? 2011 or something? And, you know, what the plans for development in the 4 5 area are. Is the landfill area ever going to be 6 developed? If not, you know, would the City want 7 the District to continue managing the marina long 8 term. You know, there are just so many questions. 9 So is there -- is there some clarity to any 10 of that that you guys have arrived at that maybe 11 we're not aware of? Some updates or something that 12 might help us better understand the relationship or 13 the potential future relationship. 14 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Long term, yeah. 15 Mike? Are you --MR. FUTRELL: Well, certainly there's 16 17 10 years left on the JPA. And I think from the 18 City's perspective, we expect the Harbor District to 19 perform at 100 percent that entire 10-year period. 20 So the idea that because the end is in sight, the Harbor District can somehow ratchet back its 21 22 responsibilities, we strongly disagree with that. 23 You have an obligation to operate until that very 24 last day at 100 percent capacity. And if that means

continuing to maintain, continuing to invest, that

1 is part of the arrangement. Because you will 2 continue to receive a revenue from that site until 3 that happens as well. As to the future, I do agree with you that 4 5 it is murky; but there are a few factors out there 6 in play. As you know, there is a previously 7 approved development agreement with -- a combination 8 called Oyster Point Ventures made up of Shorenstein 9 Company and a firm called SKS. They are still 10 That is still alive. As I appreciate the around. 11 Shorenstein perspective, it has not moved forward 12 under their banner because they have not found a 13 tenant to occupy Phase 1, which is a 500,000 square 14 foot biotech building. 15 MS. BRENNAN: Would that be on the landfill 16 or just nearby? 17 MR. FUTRELL: Partially. No, it actually 18 would take part of the landfill --19 MS. BRENNAN: Okay. 20 MR. FUTRELL: -- so, from our side, we 21 celebrate that as an ecological success because the 22 agreement requires them to dig up the landfill and 23 replace it with clean fill. And that is the kind of 24 good outcome you hope for landfills, that they are, 25 in fact, cleaned up and turned into useful land.

1 And so that's part of it. And I suspect -- well, I 2 believe that drives up the cost of it; and maybe 3 that plays into why it hasn't actually happened. But they are still actively out there; and should a 4 5 deal come forward that works for Shorenstein, that 6 would happen. 7 Now, that has a deadline as well. 8 They have a one-year cure period. So even if they 9 were unable to move forward by some date I don't 10 recall in 2019, they have a year to cure that. 11 realistically, 2020. And then that deal is dead. 12 But if you take that possibility off the table --13 well, let me go back. 14 If that happens and they move forward and 15 they break ground, the agreements between the City and the Harbor District and Shorenstein call for a 16 17 land swap. At which time the City would regain the 18 rights over the land portion of Oyster Point Marina 19 and Park; and then the Harbor District would, of 20 course, continue to operate the marina portion of 21 that. So that's one aspect that would change --22 MR. McGRATH: Did that extend all the way 2.3 down to the east end? 24 MR. FUTRELL: Jason, correct me. I believe 25 it's all the land portion. They'd swap that.

```
MR. McGRATH: Reservation for?
 1
 2
            It's an operation --
 3
            MR. ROSENBERG: Wait. I'm sorry. What is
    "it"? What is the question?
 4
 5
            MR. FUTRELL: If the land swap were to occur
 6
    with Shorenstein, and we just refer to it as the
 7
    land side --
 8
            MR. ROSENBERG: It doesn't go all the way to
 9
    the -- all the way to the east. It does not.
10
            MR. McGRATH: Does not.
11
            MR. FUTRELL: Okay. So I don't know if the
12
    agreement has a map or if we --
13
            MR. McGRATH: And the agreement is detailed
14
    by parcel numbers or by A, B, C, D, E; and then
15
    there's one that --
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: There was a portion that was
16
17
    outside of that.
18
            MR. FUTRELL: So that is certainly one
19
    possibility, but know that that's been hanging
20
    around for five years.
21
            MR. McGRATH: Uh-huh.
22
            MR. FUTRELL: So if that does not move
23
    forward, then we are where we are, in partnership
24
    with the Harbor District for another 10 years. And
25
    I -- at least I've heard, I think others have heard
```

as well, Commissioner Brennan, you've raised the issue of a early termination of the JPA. And that may be considered under agenda item 2 for today.

So our options moving forward, for clarity, one, the status quo, we continue to work together for the next 10 years as we have. Hopefully better.

Option 2, Shorenstein somehow finds a deal that works for them and they execute the development agreement and break ground, and that creates this land swap. Which we still have a working relationship with the JPA with the Harbor District, it just changes automatically the scope of that.

Option 3 may be some discussion of alteration or termination of the JPA earlier than 10 years. I'm sure others have come up with other options. That's all I've got.

MS. BRENNAN: I have a question with regard to the land swap deal, which because I have only been on this board for less than four years, I don't really know the history of that project. I've seen a few drawings in some of the marketing material on the Shorenstein and SKS websites over the years, and it looks like a terrific project. What -- is there any projected cost for the landfill cleanup? Was that ever investigated so that their investors have

```
a sense of it?
 1
 2
            I'm just curious.
 3
            MR. FUTRELL: I would have to believe
    they've done their due diligence. I'm not privy to
 4
 5
    their internal financials --
 6
            MS. BRENNAN: Okay. So we don't know.
 7
            And the City has never done a study to see
 8
    what it would cost to clean up the landfill?
            MR. FUTRELL: Well, by clean up, if you mean
 9
10
    open the cap, dig it out, replace with clean fill, I
11
    don't think we have done that --
12
            MR. McMINN: No.
13
            MR. FUTRELL: -- no.
14
            MS. BRENNAN: And bringing it up to, like,
15
    Title 27 standards, that hasn't been investigated by
    the City?
16
17
            MR. FUTRELL: I don't know enough about that
    to comment.
18
19
            MR. ROSENBERG: It was -- this plan predates
20
    Title 27 so it doesn't have to be all the way up to
21
    the code.
22
            MS. BRENNAN: Unless some development's
23
    done.
24
            MR. ROSENBERG: Right. So where the
25
    development would occur, it would come up to
```

standards; but it doesn't cause the east side. 1 2 MS. BRENNAN: The whole other area. 3 MR. ROSENBERG: Yeah. Exactly. MS. BRENNAN: Okay. Gotcha. 4 5 MR. McGRATH: I would like to address this 6 particular graphic that you raised, Commissioner 7 Brennan. And this is one that Brian and I chatted 8 about, actually, when this first appeared because I 9 had questions about this. And I do think it's a 10 little misleading, especially if you look on the 11 south side of this, which is showing inundation, and 12 yet we know the revetment is steeper there and the 13 land elevation considerably higher. 14 And it turns out that, in fact, this shows 15 not so much the inundation of what we might 16 generally perceive of as the land area of the park, 17 but rather the difference between when they shot an 18 aerial topo and the sea level tide at that point and 19 what it would be at a king tide. MR. McMINN: That is correct. So the inland 20 21 extent of what's showing for inundation, that 22 reflects what -- how far the water would reach 23 inland during an 8 foot king tide. And this was 24 flown at a lower tide. And what is not shown is the 25 mean high-water marker along the shore. So you see

```
1
    there's a lot more blue over on the edge; but some
 2
    of that is subject to regular tidal action.
 3
            MR. McGRATH: Right. So, for example, on
    the south side of the graphic, the inland side of
 4
 5
    the blue is the high-water mark at king tide, the
 6
    outer line of the blue is not necessarily ever
 7
    beyond that.
 8
            MR. McMINN: That would have been where the
 9
    water was when it was flown.
10
            MR. McGRATH: Right.
11
            MS. BRENNAN: It seems like it actually
12
    isn't quite picking up all of the flooding in the
13
    parking lot near the traffic circle. The parking
14
    lot -- well, the parking lot near Drake's Marine.
15
    It doesn't indicate the full extent of the flooding,
    which actually comes in quite a bit further than
16
17
    what is being indicated here. So it just doesn't
18
    look totally accurate to me.
19
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: So that major entry point
20
    where the boat ramp is?
21
            MS. BRENNAN: So there's the boat -- yeah,
22
    the boat launch ramp.
23
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Right.
            MS. BRENNAN: This looks fairly accurate
24
25
    here; but then this area, this actually comes up in
```

1 here. 2 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Uh-huh. It maybe gets close 3 to joining this area. MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. So that's not getting 4 5 picked up. And then this area back here is where 6 the parking lot has actually been closed and is 7 blockaded off because of the damage to the asphalt 8 from the flooding. 9 MAYOR ADDIEGO: That's in here? MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. The area -- that little 10 11 blue spot right there is, like, a closed area of the 12 parking lot. But where you see that bathroom, which 13 is that little building right there --14 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Here. Yeah. 15 MS. BRENNAN: -- the flooding actually comes up into this area, and then there's another flood 16 spot that's up here. It's this weird little area 17 18 that floods really regularly. So you could actually 19 pick up that flooding on a Google map. If you look 20 at the Google aerial maps, you'll see the flooding 21 there in the Google maps. 22 MAYOR ADDIEGO: That's almost in the middle 23 of the entire peninsula. 24 MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. And it's much higher 25 ground. So it's just something weird going on in

```
1
    that.
 2
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Unless there are drains that
 3
    don't have the flaps in place and maybe --
            MR. McGRATH: Exactly correct.
 4
 5
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: You think that's what it is?
 6
            MS. BRENNAN: So it might be backing up in
 7
    there?
 8
            MR. McGRATH: Yeah.
 9
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Yes.
10
            MS. BRENNAN: Okay. I couldn't figure it
11
    out.
12
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: That would be a relatively
13
    easy fix.
14
            That's part of the 285-?
15
            MR. McMINN: That's showing, you know, from
16
    there. But the actual plan, the fix, they actually
17
    looked at the areas from the topographic survey that
18
    could potentially pond water. And they actually
19
    went out there during a sub king tide to verify that
20
    those areas got picked up. So you'll see in the
21
    blue and green there those areas that have been
22
    addressed in the long-term and the short-term plan
2.3
    for it.
24
            MS. BRENNAN: Page 13?
25
            MR. McMINN:
                          That's starting at 13, and then
```

```
14 and 15.
 1
 2
            MS. BRENNAN: Yeah, I can see that.
                                                  I think
 3
    they did pick up that spot on page 13 that's in that
 4
    upper parking lot.
 5
            MR. McMINN: Yes.
 6
            MR. McGRATH: This is the --
 7
            MS. BRENNAN: Oh, that's the close-up?
 8
            MR. McGRATH: This is this --
            MS. BRENNAN: Okay.
 9
10
            MS. McGRATH: -- and this combined. It's
11
    just larger scale.
12
            MS. BRENNAN: It would be right there.
13
    Yeah, you see it when you drive by.
14
            So can you -- since you have a draft of the
15
    long-term, can you just give us a little prior on
    what that plan might include?
16
17
            MR. McMINN: They've given us a copy of a
18
    plan that was submitted by Hayward, and the general
19
    gist of it was it laid out a planning process for
20
    the planning to address sea level rise and the steps
21
    that would be taken for that. It didn't get into
22
    the specifics of plan level -- project plan level
23
    documents or specific time lines. It talked about
24
    forming a JPA, sources of funding, environmental
25
    permitting, things that would have to be addressed
```

```
to get there. And it looked like it was similar to
 1
 2
    the efforts that were taking place here in San Mateo
 3
    County on the planning front.
            MS. BRENNAN: So it was an example from
 4
 5
    another place?
 6
                                It was from Hayward.
            MR. McMINN: Yes.
 7
    we're using that to create an outline to put in
 8
    front of the Regional Board.
 9
            MS. BRENNAN: Okay. So at what point or
10
    who -- would it be the same engineering firm that
11
    would be coming up with the plan -- I'm assuming
12
    it's probably going to be fill that's recommended.
13
            Would that be coming --
14
            MR. McMINN: That's coming from Tetra Tech.
15
    They're working on it.
16
            MS. BRENNAN: Tetra Tech. Okay.
17
            Thank you.
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Well, I mean, certainly the
18
19
    long range -- long-term protection is the big issue;
20
    and, you know, how we put money into the Oyster
21
    Point over the next 10 years. But can we pivot back
22
    to the short-term?
2.3
            We have a pretty modest fix that would get
24
    us, you know, in compliance. And I know that -- I
25
    understand the position that if you were solely to
```

```
1
    look at it as a tenant-landlord position; but I
 2
    think that there certainly are other documents that
 3
    have come to light where it seemed that there was
    some intent to address some of the deficiencies out
 4
 5
    there. By maybe past commissions or past staff
 6
    certainly. Is there any room to negotiate a shared
 7
    arrangement on the immediate --
 8
            MS. BRENNAN: Well, I mean, I would think
 9
    that the next step would be to review those
10
    documents so that we could all get on the same page.
11
    Because I haven't seen them. I actually -- just to
12
    back up a little bit, I did ask our management to
13
    provide me -- and I was hoping the whole board --
14
    with the documents that were procured in the PRA
15
    request. But I knew that there were a lot of them
    and I didn't want to, you know, try to rush the
16
17
    staff because I think their effort was to get you
18
    what you -- you know, what the City asked for. But
19
    I did put in a request to see the documents, and I
20
    haven't been provided with the documents yet --
21
            MAYOR ADDIEGO:
                           Okay.
22
            MS. BRENNAN: -- so I haven't seen any of
23
    that stuff. So I feel I'm not really working with a
24
    full deck of information at this point.
25
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Okay.
```

MS. BRENNAN: I mean, I have reviewed the JPA, obviously, and this report that I brought today and some other things. And I think that the one thing that comes up for me is in recognizing how significant this subsidence issue could be over time, I wouldn't want to see the Harbor District take on liability for the landfill since we didn't -- we didn't build or construct the landfill; we weren't involved -- you know, we were not affiliated with the City at that time. And according to everything I've read, including the judgment from the judge in San Francisco back in the '70s, it's -- you know, this is the landfill the City built.

And you're not alone. I mean, there are 38 landfills that ring the bay. So it's not -- I don't want to sound like I'm blaming anybody for the landfill; I mean, it's just something that we have in the Bay Area. We have landfills. And a lot of them are around the bay. So it's a reality for a number of different agencies. But our little agency is, you know, struggling. And I think we're finally moving in a positive direction with our new general manager. And, you know, I think we have to be careful about what we take on financially; and I

```
1
    just can't fathom how we would, you know, want to,
 2
    like, start taking responsibility for landfills
 3
    owned by other agencies.
            But I also, you know, want to maintain a
 4
 5
    good relationship with the City and work, you know,
 6
    as collaboratively as possible. So I guess at this
    point I feel like we know you guys have some
 7
 8
    information you think is relevant and, you know,
 9
    noteworthy; and we need to look at it --
10
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Sure.
11
            MS. BRENNAN: -- and, you know, talk to our
12
    counsel about, you know, what he thinks about it;
13
    and get back -- you know, get back and have another
14
    meeting; and I guess go from there.
15
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: So you wouldn't be averse to
    allowing us to enter the property to do the fix?
16
17
            MS. BRENNAN: To enter the property to fix
    it?
18
19
            MAYOR ADDIEGO:
                            What is our time frame?
20
    May we have to have the long-term plan submitted?
21
            MR. McMINN: Yes.
22
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: So when is the first fix for
23
    the short-term?
24
            MR. McMINN:
                         Short-term plan has an
25
    implementation 25 weeks. So basically we need to
```

```
get it done before the next winter.
 1
 2
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: In October we have to be
 3
    done?
            MR. McMINN: The timeline starts once the
 4
 5
    Regional Board has accepted so --
 6
            MAYOR ADDIEGO:
                           Okay.
 7
            MR. McMINN: That date was March 21st.
 8
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: March 21st. We have 25
 9
    weeks.
10
            MR. McMINN: That's 25 weeks.
11
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: And if we were to start
12
    today, what kind of a project is this as far as time
13
    frame?
           Is it a 6 week project? Less? More?
14
            MR. McMINN: By the time -- we got 7 weeks
15
    in there to prepare plans and approvals, a 12-week
    bidding process period in there; and then the
16
17
    construction of it, actually, is, like, 6 weeks. So
18
    I think it's a fairly conservative estimate.
19
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: So we have 7 weeks for
20
    plans?
21
            MR. McMINN: Yes.
22
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: And then 12 weeks for what?
23
            MR. McMINN: The bidding process. Public
24
    bidding since it's a public project.
25
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: And 7 weeks -- or what was
```

```
it?
 1
 2
            MR. McMINN: Six weeks.
 3
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Six to complete.
            MR. McMINN: Yes.
 4
 5
            MS. NORMANDY: Brian, do you have an
 6
    itemized summary of the 285-?
 7
            MR. McMINN: It was broken out by grading
 8
    repairs.
 9
            MS. NORMANDY: But do you have a copy for
    the committee?
10
11
            MR. McMINN: I don't have a copy.
                                                I have --
12
            MS. NORMANDY: Could we make copies?
13
            Because I think it would be helpful, if
14
    we're talking with the Harbor Commission as well as
15
    their management staff, and we're talking dollars
    and nobody here -- I mean, we've heard of this, but
16
17
    you don't have a copy. I think it would be
    beneficial.
18
19
            MS. BRENNAN: That's a great idea.
20
            MR. McMINN: I can get copies for people.
21
            MR. MILLER: Mr. Mayor, can I say something?
22
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Sure.
23
            MR. MILLER: I think it's great that you're
24
    here at the table, but just remind everybody that at
25
    least this committee is not empowered to make any
```

```
1
    decisions. I'm just reminding you --
 2
            MS. NORMANDY: No, I didn't say make a
 3
    decision.
            MR. MILLER: No, no, I --
 4
 5
            MS. NORMANDY: As far as providing -- when
 6
    Mr. McGrath requested itemized and
 7
    Commissioner Brennan is saying there's no decision
 8
    to be made, but it would be useful to have this
 9
    information. So I'm requesting for my staff to make
10
    a copy.
11
            MR. MILLER: I agree 100 percent.
12
    apologize if I insinuated I was disagreeing with
13
    you. In fact, I'm supporting you because I'm just
14
    reading in the JPA. It says, "The purpose of this
15
    liaison group is not to be an advisory, nor is it to
16
    present recommendations to either party. It's
17
    constituted solely as a means for direct
18
    communication and the exchange of ideas and reports
19
    of plans between the District and the City."
            So I think it's a fabulous idea to share as
20
21
    much as possible the information that each committee
22
    can bring back to their full governing boards.
2.3
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: So back to the time frame.
24
    So, as I was jotting down the 7, 12, and 6, if my
25
    math is correct, I think I came up with 25 weeks.
```

```
So my concern is are we beginning on the plans? Are
 1
 2
    we moving in that direction?
 3
            MR. McMINN: No. We've been working on the
 4
    long-term plans first, and then we'll get those out.
 5
    But they have not commenced on it. Other than
 6
    they've got the plans submitted in the short-term
 7
    plan. So the areas have already been marked out,
 8
    it's just a matter of coming up with the
 9
    specifications.
10
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: So is that 7 weeks to do the
11
    specs? I mean, are we -- are we partway into that
12
    initial 7 weeks? I'm just worried that --
13
            MR. McMINN: Yes, we are.
14
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: We're not out of time?
15
            MR. McMINN: No, we're not out of time.
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Okay. So we are moving
16
17
    along.
18
            MR. McMINN: And, then, as I said, this is a
19
    very conservative time schedule to get this work
20
    done.
21
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Okay.
22
            MR. McMINN: It's a fairly simple bid
23
    package to put together.
24
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Okay. All right. I'm just
25
    concerned. I mean if -- we certainly do need to
```

```
1
    know, you know, where we stand as a city. The
 2
    Harbor needs to see that. I understand their
 3
    position, you know, as they see the world. And if
    there's something out there that speaks to, you
 4
 5
    know, more involvement, then we all need to see
 6
    that.
 7
            And how soon might we get that?
 8
            MR. ROSENBERG: As soon as possible.
                                                  About
 9
    a week.
10
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Okay. That's fair. A lot
11
    of time has run by since we came into this room.
12
            All right. I guess that's Item 3 for today.
            MS. BRENNAN: Is that it?
13
14
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Yeah, that's Item 3.
15
            Item 4. Do you want to bring that up.
16
            CITY CLERK: Discussion regarding Joint
17
    Powers Authority Agreement between the San Mateo
18
    County Harbor District and the City of South
19
    San Francisco.
20
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: And so this -- this is, as
21
    Mike alluded to, at some point we had the impression
22
    at least one member of the liaison committee was
23
    interested in not waiting until 2026. And is that
24
    still something that's --
25
            MS. BRENNAN: I think --
```

1 MAYOR ADDIEGO: -- bandied about? 2 MS. BRENNAN: I think what you're 3 referencing is at the LAFCo hearing I gave -- I made some comments -- or I guess it was at a LAFCo 4 5 meeting. Anyway, I commented that I wasn't taking a 6 position on whether or not there should be an early 7 termination. 8 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Okay. 9 MS. BRENNAN: But what I suggested in my 10 comments, which I have in writing so I know exactly 11 what I said, was that it might be something that 12 would be worth considering and having some 13 discussion about. But I haven't developed a 14 position on that personally just to be clear. 15 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Sure. MS. BRENNAN: But I did notice that in one 16 17 of the City's meetings there was quite a bit of 18 discussion about a -- what sounded like a very keen 19 interest in early termination; however, that may 20 have changed. That was several months ago. 21 So I got the impression that there were --22 there was an interest on the part of the City and 23 potentially considering early termination; and I 24 also got the gist that the staff was not as keen on

25

that just because there is a financial benefit to

```
1
    having the Harbor District managing the marina.
 2
    maybe not such a rush from the staff's perspective.
 3
    And I can understand that position. Yeah.
            But, I mean, you know, I think if the City's
 4
 5
    interested in talking about it, you know, I would
 6
    certainly like to know where -- where everyone
    stands, you know, if you want to share that.
 7
 8
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: I don't think -- I mean,
 9
    there was some conversation about looking to
10
    accelerate or end the JPA early; but it was also the
11
    discussion was there are, you know, property tax
12
    dollars that are utilized for a marina that doesn't
13
    quite cover its, you know, annual costs. And if the
14
    Commission was willing to, you know, negotiate all
15
    or a portion of what it previously had been utilized
    to make this marina viable, or if it was just the
16
17
    intent to walk away from the JPA.
18
            MS. BRENNAN: I don't think anybody is -- I
19
    know I haven't given it a whole lot of thought.
20
            MAYOR ADDIEGO:
                            Okay.
21
            MS. BRENNAN: I think that I will say
22
    although I did hear what the city manager said
23
    earlier, I do think because the District doesn't own
24
    the land and because we only have 10 years left on
25
    that -- so it's not like we have a long-term
```

investment at this point, I do think that it would 1 2 make sense to look at cost sharing on some of the 3 projects that could be done to make it a nicer facility. And if there was an interest in upgrading 4 5 the facility for a potential transition to some 6 gorgeous development that Shorenstein-SKS might come 7 up with or some other developer if they don't --8 don't end up doing their project, you know, if there 9 was an interest on the part of the City's in really 10 upgrading the site, I could clearly see that would 11 be a benefit for the -- you know, for the citizens 12 of South City. You know, I would hope that the 13 District would not stand in the way of progress and 14 that we could work something out so that those 15 improvements could happen; but I think that it would 16 be important to share the costs. Because if the 17 facilities were going to be transitioning over to 18 the ownership of the City, then the City would 19 really be benefitting from that longer-term 20 investment. 21 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Sure. If it had a 40-year 22 life, then most of it would be. 2.3 MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. But I think there could 24 be some fair, amicable way of working those things 25 I think that we've seen -- and I hear this

from people, that they really appreciate the quality 1 2 of the upgraded docks. So I'm hearing that from a 3 lot of people. In fact, I ran into some of the dragon boat team; and one of the people that's a 4 5 member of the team has a 45 foot boat at Oyster 6 And he was asking when the -- you know, when Point. 7 there were going to be more new docks. So I know 8 it's really a major upgrade when you put those in. 9 And one of the things our harbormaster has 10 explained to us is that the smaller slips are 11 harming our ability to have full occupancy. And 12 occupancy has been a challenge. But where we have 13 larger slips with the new docks we're able to fill 14 those up, and it really has made a difference. 15 think that the future of Oyster Point would certainly include nicer docks and -- or newer docks 16 17 and larger slips. And I think that would help fill 18 the facility and be a benefit to the users. So and 19 then, you know, there's a lot of opportunity there 20 for potentially other parks facilities too. And, 21 you know, it could be a really -- it's got a 22 beautiful view. I mean, it could be --2.3 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Could be a draw. 24 MS. BRENNAN: And it's not just the bay 25 view, it's also the mountain too. It's just a

1 really nice spot with the Bay Trail there, I think 2 that with all the new development in the area people 3 are going to want to use that location more and more for recreation. 4 5 MAYOR ADDIEGO: A lot of enviable 6 components. 7 MS. BRENNAN: Yeah, definitely. And the Bay 8 Trail is fabulous. And it's definitely feeling the 9 brunt of some of the flooding, so I would hope that 10 any long-term plan would look at how to keep the Bay 11 Trail dry as much as possible so that the runners --12 because I've noticed the runners are struggling to 13 get through when it's flooding. So things like 14 that, I think, would really be a benefit to the 15 I don't know, I'm just kind of throwing out 16 my ideas. 17 MAYOR ADDIEGO: No, I appreciate that. MS. NORMANDY: Me too. 18 19 MAYOR ADDIEGO: That's the spirit that I 20 came to the table today with, that -- you know, I 21 was thrilled to see that under the administration of 22 your new people you were going to become more 23 focused on the capital improvements and it might

actually include some dock improvements at the

Oyster Point harbor. And you would like at some

53

24

1 point to be a partner in that. 2 MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. And I think the area 3 that really needs new docks is actually on the east side of -- of the ferry terminal. And so that area 4 5 is not experiencing any flooding problems at all. 6 So it's like there's really no reason why that can't 7 be, you know, focused on if there's not an issue on 8 that side. We did look at the terminal when we were 9 on our site visit, which I have to say is just a beautiful facility. I mean, it's just such a nice 10 11 design. WATA did a great job. And we were looking 12 at the fill that was added when they were coming up 13 with the engineering to connect the terminal to the 14 land. And so our harbormaster pointed out that -- I 15 think he said about 6 feet of fill were brought in. 16 And it was -- it was put in in a way that works. But at the same time it also buried some of the 17 18 infrastructure about, you know, 6 or more feet down. 19 So I think those are things that need to get 20 considered in the planning. If we're going to add 21 fill, you know, at some point -- let's just say 22 fill's going to be added, that obviously the

MAYOR ADDIEGO: Yeah.

23

24

25

come up --

electrical and those things are going to need to

MS. BRENNAN: -- so that it's not going to be so hard later to fix things if, you know, there's a broken pipe or whatever. But, you know, it can be done. And it's unfortunately, I'm sure, going to be very expensive to add the fill; but once it's done, it's going to be a much nicer facility so.

MR. MATTUSCH: Besides fill we got to figure out if we're going to do some type of sea wall or some type of shoring. Because as long as we dredge, then that's going to keep sinking out.

But one of the things you mentioned was about the economics and things not pencilling out quite as well. I think that now that we've broken out our enterprise, nonenterprise, we're finding out that in reality that marina is not doing nearly as bad as some people postulated in the past.

MAYOR ADDIEGO: Okay.

MR. MATTUSCH: And I think that perhaps
Mr. McGraw or possibly both of us could bring a
presentation in the future that would demonstrate
this with hard facts showing where our revenue
sources are both from the tenant side, the lease
side, and then also the tax base side. We'd find
it's not as bad as some people postulated in the
past.

```
1
            The other thing is if we're going to be
 2
    working together, the hardest thing we're having to
 3
    fill is those 30 foot docks. But why do we have the
    30 foot docks? We've got BCDC, we've got Coastal
 4
 5
    Commission, we've got people that say we've got to
 6
    make this available to the common person; and by
 7
    their requirements we're being stifled with these
 8
    smaller docks to provide access to, shall we say,
 9
    the common man.
10
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: BCDC and Coastal Commission?
11
            MR. MATTUSCH: Coastal Commission.
12
            MS. BRENNAN: I don't think Coastal
13
    Commission has any jurisdiction there at all.
14
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: All right.
15
            MR. MATTUSCH: Coastal Conservancy, was it?
            MS. BRENNAN: It's BCDC.
16
17
            MR. McGRATH: Coastal Commission weighs in
18
    on dock distribution, if you will, on areas within
19
    their jurisdiction. I don't know to be quite
20
    honest --
21
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: BCDC --
22
            MR. McGRATH: -- whether BCDC has that --
23
            MR. MATTUSCH: Similar --
24
            MS. BRENNAN: BCDC is responsible for --
25
            MR. McGRATH: Understood.
```

```
I don't know if they weigh in on the --
 1
 2
            (Simultaneous overlapping speakers.)
 3
            MR. MATTUSCH: Well, we've had some issue
    with the 30 foot docks that were --
 4
 5
            MR. McGRATH: -- or the Coastal Commission
 6
    does.
 7
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: They do.
 8
            MS. BRENNAN: I think they do. I know they
 9
    weigh in on the live-aboard --
10
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Yes.
11
            MR. McGRATH: Yeah, they do.
12
            MS. BRENNAN: How much -- the percentage of
13
    live-aboards.
14
            MR. McGRATH: They do.
15
            MS. BRENNAN: But that's a good question.
    think I've heard the same thing you have, but we
16
17
    should double-check it and make sure that's actually
    accurate. But I know they definitely weigh in on
18
19
    the live-aboard issue. Which is another concern.
20
    And, unfortunately, I don't think there's anything
21
    anybody can do about that. I mean, BCDC has just
22
    been --
2.3
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Harsh.
24
            MS. BRENNAN: I've called them a number of
25
    times and asked them, is there any way that this can
```

```
1
    be lifted? Or, you know, we could try, like, a test
 2
    or --
 3
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Different percentage.
            MS. BRENNAN: You know, let's just, like,
 4
 5
    test out having a few more. And they're just, like,
 6
    no.
 7
            And then I learned that there was
 8
    actually -- that the District -- there was a lawsuit
 9
    with BCDC. I don't know if you know this. But this
10
    was -- I was doing some research, and I came
11
    across -- this was really, I thought, quite
    interesting.
12
13
            Oh, yeah. It's here.
14
            So let me see what I've got. San Mateo
15
    County Harbor District versus People BCDC,
    Defendant. It says (Reading): Based on the facts
16
17
    included in the court opinion, San Mateo County
    Harbor District versus BCDC, it appears that the
18
19
    Division of Boating and Waterways and BCDC may have
20
    a -- oh, wait. This is just about the master plan.
21
            I checked to see if they have a master plan.
22
    They don't. They don't have anything more than
23
    we've been able to find. But the facts of the court
24
    opinion were (Reading): In 1977 the City of South
25
    San Francisco owned a small boat marina at Oyster
```

1 Point, which lies both within the City's limits and 2 the District's territory. In October 1977 the City 3 and the District jointly agreed to repair and/or replace the existing Oyster Point marina facility 4 5 and expand them pursuant to a 1975 proposed master 6 plan agreement between the City and the State of 7 California Department of Navigation Ocean 8 Development. The 1975 proposed master plan included 9 leachate control measures, preparation of the 10 project site for Marina Park landscaping and other 11 auxiliary shoreside support facilities. 12 District and the City also agreed to permit the 13 District to rehabilitate, manage, and maintain and 14 operate the existing Oyster Point Marina. 15 Let me get to the point. But it -- where is it? Let's see. 16 17 Oh. This side. 18 No. 19 The point was this court case came up; and 20 that information I quoted from it, because the 21 Harbor District decided to sue BCDC to get the 22 live-aboard number expanded, and we lost. And so 2.3 that's where I was researching our relationship with 24 the JPA and came across that. 25 And so it was at that point, when I saw that

```
we lost a lawsuit over it, that I realized no amount
 1
 2
    of my calling BCDC was going to make a difference.
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: That was in the '70s? That
 3
    was '77?
 4
 5
            MS. BRENNAN: No. Actually, that wasn't
 6
    that long ago. It was -- well, it wasn't with our
 7
    current counsel, but the counsel before. So it was
 8
    within the last -- yeah. It was within the last, I
 9
    would say, probably 15 years.
            MR. MILLER: May I ask what you're reading
10
11
    from?
12
            MS. BRENNAN: A report that I produced. I
13
    can give you a copy of it.
14
            MR. MATTUSCH: Back to what I was saying
15
    about the slips. We've got too many 30 foot slips
    we can't fill, we've got a wait list for the
16
17
    40-plus.
18
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Right.
19
            MR. MATTUSCH: It's my -- I've been led to
20
    believe we can't do anything with the 30 foot
21
    because they've been dictated by number to give
22
    access.
23
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Yeah.
24
            MR. MATTUSCH: And if we somehow work
25
    together and say, well, this is a better number of
```

```
30 foot slips and we're able to convert something,
 1
 2
    it's going to be more lucrative for all of us.
 3
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Right. Right. That's where
    the demand is.
 4
 5
            At the next BCDC -- I'm an appointee to the
    Commission so --
 6
 7
            MS. BRENNAN: I remember that.
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: -- I'll ask off line to find
 8
 9
    out if they are dictating the configuration or
10
    sizing of slips.
11
            MS. BRENNAN: That would be great.
12
            MR. FUTRELL: Mind if I gave a little
13
    context just of some facts?
14
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Please.
15
            He gets nervous when I just chat.
            MR. FUTRELL: City staff was asked to
16
17
    present a study session to our city council in the
    wake of the July 2015 LAFCo recommendation that the
18
19
    Harbor District be dissolved. City staff studied
20
    that and what would that actually mean. Came
21
    forward with three options, looking for direction
22
    from City Council what do you want city staff to do.
23
    One option is just continue as things are. One
24
    option would be to pursue the LAFCo recommendation
25
    and work with County towards dissolution of the
```

Harbor District. And then there was sort of a 1 2 middle option, which was sit down with the Harbor 3 District and see if there's some voluntary amicable termination. Which one of those three, City 4 5 Council, do you want staff to work on? 6 Through that discussion, which I've -- is 7 public record, and I know many of you have listened 8 to that. Counsel gave direction they did not want to at that time seek dissolution. Do not pursue the 9 10 LAFCo approach. But nor were they willing, in the 11 wake of what was contained in that report and the 12 Grand Jury report, to just continue as is. So staff 13 was directed to consider and create some venue, 14 perhaps this, where a discussion of an amicable termination earlier than the 10 years might be 15 explored. In staff's presentation we theoretically 16 17 posed three potential obstacles to termination from 18 the City's perspective. One of which was the debt 19 owed by the Harbor District. But you have recently 20 paid that off. Congratulations. 21 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Thank you. 22 MR. FUTRELL: That is no longer an issue. 23 However, one issue which remains, that I 24 think is being addressed, in late 2014 the Harbor 25 District commissioned an engineering study of the

capital needs at Oyster Point. And that report documented deferred maintenance in the amount of \$5.7 million. That was -- that remains an issue.

I certainly understand the conversation that if either side would desire new improvements that might go past the 10 years, that that might be worthy of discussion. In my mind, at least from staff's perspective, the 5.7 million in deferred maintenance, in past maintenance, is a separate issue. That would be something that, frankly, should have been happening all along. So that was one of the obstacles presented to the Council and is still there.

The third, and it's been alluded to, is the financial. And, certainly, you have correctly pointed out, we tried to decipher the financials under the old system. It's very difficult to do. And so I look forward to a presentation of being schooled up on what the reality is out there. If it's rosier, that's better for all of us.

MAYOR ADDIEGO: Yeah.

MR. FUTRELL: So I just wanted to put that there, that that's how staff came to get involved.

Council wanted to understand the LAFCo and grand jury findings and then discuss as a body what do we

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MEETING PROCEEDINGS 1 do next. And from staff's perspective they chose 2 the middle road, which was sit down with the Harbor 3 District and see if there is some new partnership that can be forged. 4 5 I'm not sure if that's possible or not, but 6 here we are. 7 MR. McGRATH: So if I could sort of jump in 8 and address just a couple of those issues from --9 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Sure. 10 MR. McGRATH: -- the staff's perspective as 11 well. And the debt certainly is -- I'm very pleased 12 to be able to recommend to the Commission that we 13 pay off that debt. It was going to be paid off by 14 2019 anyway. We managed to pay it off early. And 15 what that did was that discharged our obligations related to \$13.5 million of investment in Oyster 16

17 Point the District has made. That's not an

18 insignificant sum.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Capital needs, as you and I talked, Mike, I know that the question has floated around about our future relationship; but as we put together a five-year CIP, as staff, I assumed the continuation of the JPA through its ten-year term. Well, my staff, of course, was very clear however we address those capital needs going forward, that those were

identifying the projects, putting numbers to them, and were not reflective of grants, shares of costs, any other thing that may affect those expenditures.

And, then, to the third point, the financial, yes, we have not in the past been separating out the enterprise and public revenues and expenses in that manner anywhere in the District. We've done that for the first time this year in our preliminary budget, which the Commission adopted last week; and it's more art than science when it comes to determining how the share of costs of a box of pencils is distributed; but we have started that process, we know it will be refined over the course of years forward. And we're happy we are on the agenda for the May 18th LAFCo hearing meeting, where we will be presenting an update on our progress since that LAFCo report was issued.

Of course, we'd love to have robust attendance at that so that we can do a little bragging about the progress that we've made.

MAYOR ADDIEGO: Good.

Okay. So I've been a little bit remiss that we have a member of the public that would like to speak on this item.

We have a little break here, Mr. Ullom, so

if you'd like to lean into the microphone so...

2.3

MR. ULLOM: Okay. Thanks for having me here and letting me just have my say.

The way you're characterizing the meeting where discussions were put forth about breaking up the Harbor District, the words you guys used were divorce, amicable; and it was decided that the staff was to pursue breaking up with you guys in a manner that might preserve their \$1.7 million subsidy. There was no discussion about creating a new agreement.

Later on they went on to say they were going to pursue a dual track. Dual track, they're talking with the County supervisors and that they've already got two of those supervisors on board to dissolve the Harbor District. So you need to know what your partner is up to. And your partner has every legitimate right to protect their interests and get what they can get out of this arrangement.

But the JPA is a rather simple document.

Start -- let me go backwards with it. It specifies the terms of divorce so to speak. And there is no discussion about maintaining the lifestyle that they've become used to by having you subsidize their operation. It talks what's theirs is theirs and

what's yours is yours, and you go your separate way. It specified how you deal with employees, it specified how you deal with benefits. It's pretty much all on page 18 and it's in about one fairly medium-sized paragraph. And that is the terms of dissolution. That's what you've agreed to. You can change that, of course; you can come to a special deal. But that is what it says.

And, then, if you look at your discussion about who's responsible to fix the parking lot, for example. If you look in the JPA, they kind of address it, maybe totally address it. They talk about the leachate problems on page 16 of the original agreement. And it says in there that it's agreed by the City that if there's any problems maintaining the court orders that they've been subjected to to prevent the leachate discharge, that it's totally on them. That it was agreed to up front.

Now, I can probably say that a good lawyer could argue that the cap and the parking lot are not part of that agreement; but I would say that the cap and the parking lot are fundamental in dealing with the leachate problems because it's supposed to keep water from leaching into the toxic waste that's

underneath it. So it's already spelled out whose responsibility it is to fix that problem.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you look at the overall agreement -- I've heard someone mention a couple times that there's like a landlord-tenant relationship here. That is not close to what the relationship that is contemplated in this agreement. The agreement is more like you're the property owner and they're the property management company. And I've had plenty of workings with property owners and property management companies. And I tell you property management companies do not take their revenue and plow it into the properties of the properties they manage for other people. They take the revenue from those properties, and they manage it wisely; they try to keep the property up to code; and they take a cut for themselves; and they send the profit to the property owner.

This agreement contemplates that the development was going to occur a long time ago and that everybody was going to make money off the leases that were going to result from that. That the Harbor District was only supposed to spend money that came from operations out there and loans that were granted out there. That's all that has ever

been agreed to. There is nothing in there that says you're supposed to subsidize their operation. I know there's been some discussion about the difference between enterprise and the other kind of revenue --

MR. McGRATH: Public.

MR. ULLOM: Public. Well, that's true. But the fundamental truth of the matter is their staff did a really long and detailed study and came to the conclusion that if they end this relationship, that they would have to come up with \$1.7 million per year to maintain what they just have. They're not talking about the delayed maintenance or anything like that.

And as far as the delayed maintenance occurred or the deferred capital rests on that, it's totally on the property owner to come up with the capital to invest and maintain the property owner's property. It is not the role of the management company to come up with that money.

I hope you'll address that because that's all very easily documented, it's in your JPA. And if you'd like to hear what happened at the meeting and check my characterization of it, I can bring it up right now in one minute, all the key statements

1 will come out. 2 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Thank you, Mr. Ullom. 3 You're on the record so. I certainly -- the point I do agree with is 4 5 it's obvious that originally there was a hoped-for 6 outcome that there would be monies to be shared, and 7 it didn't quite happen. 8 MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. 9 And we are where we are now. So the one 10 thing that came up during the site visit -- I keep 11 coming back to that because we had never done that 12 before; but we learned about some very basic things 13 that are happening at the site which are collaborative. Which I don't think the 14 15 commissioners were aware of before. So Jim Merlo, our harbormaster, filled us in 16 17 on the relationship that he has working with City 18 staff, City employees, to do things like fix lights 19 in the parking lot that are too high for us to 20 reach. And, you know, your lift truck comes through 21 and helps with that. And various areas of 22 collaboration between the City and the District. 2.3 And I'm kind of a nuts-and-bolts process person so I 24 thought that was interesting. And I thought it

25

might be a good idea to come up with an assessment

of the different areas where the City and -- the City's doing certain things to maintain the site such as I think you're offering -- you know, I see the fire trucks come through sometimes. And there's various things we learned about from Jim that the City is doing to help with the site. You're mowing one of the areas, and then we mow the rest of the area. There's a division of labor happening that I'm not sure everyone's aware of.

MAYOR ADDIEGO: No.

MS. BRENNAN: I wasn't.

So this is very basic stuff; but I thought that, you know, it might be worthwhile to compile a couple of lists so that we could all see who's doing what and what the relationship -- how it's developed over time.

And, you know, one thing Jim said is I haven't really -- you know, maybe he's not going through all the proper channels; but everybody sort of working together that keeps the place going.

And, you know, it's important to him because, you know, that's what he does. So I just thought it might be worthwhile to take a look at that so we can see where we already are collaborating and maybe don't even realize it.

```
1
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Right.
 2
            MS. BRENNAN: And --
 3
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: More of a partnership than
    we really envisioned --
 4
 5
            MS. BRENNAN: Well, that's what I thought.
 6
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: -- sitting here at city
 7
    hall.
 8
            MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. He was telling me about
 9
    this partnership that I didn't know about, so it was
10
    kind of cool.
11
            MR. McGRATH: In ways that the JPA really
12
    hadn't --
13
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Right.
14
            MR. McGRATH: -- anticipated 50 years ago.
15
            MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. And so then that kind
    of brought up for me that getting back to the idea
16
17
    that the JPA is really not a useful document. I
18
    mean, I've been reading it, and -- here it is.
19
            And it's frustrating; but, you know, it
20
    could be that it's really time to update it. And
21
    if -- you know, if the City's -- I'm still --
22
    I've heard everything you've said, Mike; but I guess
23
    I'm still not understanding it that clearly. I
24
    think that if we had an updated JPA -- and I don't
25
    know if that would just be a new JPA or an amended
```

JPA; but if it -- if it included information that was actually relevant, we could probably have quite an interesting process in developing that new document.

And by developing a new document, we might end up resolving a lot of these areas of conflict.

I mean, it would be kind of an undertaking to do it, which would be the down side; but by going through that process, we might find a way to work together.

And whether it's working together for another 10 years and hitting some goals, or, you know, if the City decides an early termination is, you know, on the table and the Commission is -- you know, we're all on the same page, you know, then maybe that would sort of come out in the evolution of devising a new sort of agreement.

So that was just an idea that I'm throwing out. Because obviously there's stuff that needs to get done. I mean, we can't just stop progress here. Things have to get fixed, and you know -- you know, now we've got these two -- the short-term and the long-term project, plus we've got other work that needs to happen. And, you know we're starting to review the leases in a new way. Which I don't know if you are aware of. Our new general manager,

McGraw, has instituted what we call the rent report; and that's been really enlightening. We just had that on our last agenda. So what we're doing is we're looking at a list of all our leased properties and what the District is receiving in revenue on a quarterly basis. And so we're seeing a lack of parity in a number of places, and a lot -- I'm sure the City probably has a lot of the same issues with other leases that you have. But, you know, if you look at the disparity between the different leases, it's pretty eye opening. And there isn't a whole lot you can do about that, I mean, because some of these leases are very old and they -- you know, 25 years and then there was a 25-year renewal that was acted on. So, yeah, they're really long leases. But you can start to see where some of the problems are in trying to make the current site financially viable.

And my hope is that a plan could be devised not just for Oyster Point, obviously for Pillar Point too, where we are not -- we don't have the leases out of mind, that we're keeping them in -- you know, keeping them on the front burner and looking at ways to bring them up to, you know, market rate and try to resolve some of the problems

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 with the leases over time. 2 So those are things that, you know, we have 3 started -- you look like you want to say something. Interrupt me, please. 4 5 MR. McGRATH: No. MR. FUTRELL: I get the same look sometimes. 6 7 MR. McGRATH: I just want to say that I 8 believe that Oyster Point actually is like a classic 9 example of this division that we talked about 10 between enterprise and public functions. And we 11 have an enterprise side of the house at Oyster Point 12 with the marina and some leases, but we've also got 13 significant public functions. We've got a trail, 14 we've got park areas, we've got beach areas that 15 people use. And that's why it's becoming important to separate out our public and enterprise revenues. 16 17 And so when you do that, and you recognize 18 that there are significant public functions going on 19 and there's significant staff time devoted to those 20 public resources, that it becomes a much more 21 complex thing that's not easily answered about what 22 does it cost? What does the whole thing cost? 2.3 And, certainly, we could look at -- you 24 know, divide it down and say, well, Dock 11 is at

25

100 percent occupancy, but Dock 14 is only at

```
30 percent. So, you know, Dock 14 loses money,
 1
 2
    Dock 11, and then you just keep expanding it out.
            So as we dive into this, we're going to
 3
    recognize that this is actually complex. And
 4
 5
    bringing the JPA up to date to address this, the JPA
 6
    was written before the development was completed.
 7
    Now we've got the development and we're coming down
 8
    the pike; and, you know, one of the things I think
 9
    that has to be discussed, and because I'm an
10
    optimist and I like to fix things and run things, I
11
    mean, I think one of the things that we haven't
12
    talked about is "Does, in fact, in 11 years the City
13
    want to run a marina?"
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: That's what I've been
14
15
    mulling over while we've been chatting.
            MR. McGRATH: So not only early termination
16
17
    or status quo --
18
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: But the potential for an
19
    extension.
20
            MR. McGRATH: -- and the future going
21
    forward.
22
            MS. BRENNAN: Just to pick up on one thing
23
    you were saying, one of the things the District has
24
    really struggled with and not made the case for
25
    successfully -- and this has been really apparent in
```

1 past LAFCo reviews -- is that we aren't simply an 2 enterprise district, that we are offering a lot of 3 public services; and we are doing that at both locations obviously. I think you're both aware of 4 5 that. But we have not been good at explaining that 6 to people. And so I think on the part of the County 7 or maybe the board of supervisors or the LAFCo 8 director, you know, there was an expectation that we 9 should be generating enough revenue to cover all of 10 our costs. And the fact that we're essentially 11 running two parks was kind of lost on people. 12 When you look at who the users are, I would 13 say that we have far more users that don't own boats 14 or aren't members of the Oyster Point Yacht Club or 15 aren't, you know, necessarily patronizing the businesses at Pillar Point Harbor but are actually 16 17 there to use the trails, whether it's the Bay Trail or the Coastal Trail, to ride their bikes through to 18 19 just check out the boats and just to kind of --20 MAYOR ADDIEGO: When the fish run it's 21 remarkable how many people are on the pier. 22 MR. MATTUSCH: Yeah. 2.3 MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. It's like a great 24 source of active and passive recreation. And so I

really hope that -- and I think our new GM is doing

25

a great job of this -- really hope that we're able 1 2 in the future to do a better job of getting the word 3 out about -- about the fact that we are essentially offering these recreation opportunities for people. 4 5 And so that's definitely something that I think, you 6 know, needs to be kept in mind. And I would love to 7 see us do an even better job at that because I think 8 that really is where our largest user base is. 9 know Tom's probably going to disagree with me; but 10 given that, you know, he's a captain --11 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Ah. Yes. 12 MS. BRENNAN: -- but, you know, it's --13 MAYOR ADDIEGO: It's all about the boats. 14 MR. MATTUSCH: Oh, no. I've seen people 15 coming through both sides, that are nonmarina users entirely, come down. It's a beautiful place to 16 17 visit. Doesn't matter which site we're talking 18 about, both harbors are intrinsically lovely. 19 It sounds to me like we're kind of bracing 20 right on to No. 5, talking about next steps. 21 MAYOR ADDIEGO: Oh. 22 MR. MATTUSCH: And I think that a number of 23 the things we have talked about here, we also have 24 to figure out are we going to have a hard stop at 25 5:30, or develop a list, or what's our meeting rules

```
1
    here.
 2
            MS. NORMANDY: I need to --
 3
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: I think we are going --
            MS. NORMANDY: I have --
 4
 5
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: She has another
 6
    obligation --
 7
            MS. NORMANDY: -- school. Yeah.
 8
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: -- that she needs to keep
 9
    to. And that's only fair because she told us on the
    front end.
10
11
            But I just wanted to compliment you because
12
    there have been some recent events -- I don't
13
    know -- Kite Days and -- that have brought people
    from South San Francisco that have never visited in
14
15
    all these many years because they thought it was
    just a place for boats.
16
17
            MR. MATTUSCH: We got the Baby Boot Camp
18
    going on right now, and we've got a big fishing
19
    derby coming on every year. I can't remember if I
20
    sent this year's to the Council or not. There's a
21
    striper and halibut derby being put on at the harbor
22
    in June. That brings in a lot of people that
23
    wouldn't ordinarily visit this area. I've made
24
    presentations to people, trying to get them to bring
25
    fish-rearing capabilities and fish pens in here.
```

```
1
    And I've suggested not just to the City but to other
 2
    people, come in here and do this for us. You get a
 3
    lot of publicity. There's a lot of made for TV and
    radio things that go on right now. And these people
 4
 5
    need a place to operate, and why can't it be here.
 6
            MS. BRENNAN: Well, to pick up on that, one
 7
    of the things that we've seen really growing at
 8
    Pillar Point Harbor is the human-powered vessels
 9
    like kayaks and the standup paddle boarding and that
10
    sort of thing, and it's gotten really popular.
11
    we've got two businesses now that are operating out
12
    of Pillar Point, and they're busy with teaching
13
    kayaking lessons and then renting kayaks. And then,
14
    of course, there's the stand-up paddle board too.
15
    But Oyster Point's a little bit different because
    there's so much wind. So that's a little bit
16
17
    challenging.
18
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: The boards with the sails
19
    are out there.
20
            MS. BRENNAN: Yes. So there are two
21
    businesses operating --
22
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Yeah, but, you know what,
23
    they might be freelancers.
24
            MR. McGRATH: No. Now we know of them.
25
            MS. BRENNAN:
                          Yeah. We had to approve their
```

permits. And that was a very well attended meeting. But yes. For Oyster Point, because you've got this incredible wind resource, the kiting and windsurfing are, you know, areas that could be potentially even further developed.

And I think kayaking isn't totally out of the question. We did put in a kayak rack; and, unfortunately, it's not being used. So it would be great if there was a way -- while I've got you guys, I'm just going to mention this -- to work collaboratively with your parks people to try to encourage people to take advantage of that. Because there's storage now. And if you go to Santa Cruz Harbor, they cannot come up with enough racks for kayaks. And they rent them out, and it's just -- it's something that would be nice to see people taking more advantage of.

And I think part of the reason why Oyster
Point doesn't get as much active rec as it could is
because people don't think of it as a place to go.
And so it's just about a little bit of a different
marketing approach to getting the word out. And,
clearly, the District, you know, I'll be the first
to say we could be doing a better job with our
website. And we -- some of the commissioners, I

```
1
    don't know how many; but I know for myself I'm very
 2
    interested in stepping up our social media. We have
 3
    no presence right now. Doing things that would
    encourage people to use both facilities in those
 4
 5
    ways more frequently.
 6
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Get on the water and enjoy
 7
    it.
 8
            MS. BRENNAN: Yeah.
 9
            MR. McGRATH: I'm making note that we will,
10
    as staff, reach out more proactively with your
11
    staff --
12
            MR. FUTRELL: Sure.
13
            MR. McGRATH: -- when we have special
14
    events, when we issue commercial activity permits so
15
    that your parks department may have a newsletter we
    can send.
16
17
            MR. FUTRELL: We have a very robust
18
    communications department.
19
            MR. McGRATH: There we go.
20
            MR. FUTRELL: Twitter, Instagram, Facebook.
21
    We have a city newsletter that's --
22
            MR. McGRATH: Great.
23
            MR. FUTRELL: We're happy to promote what's
24
    going on out there.
25
            MS. BRENNAN: Yeah, that would be great
```

```
1
    because I think it would really grow. If people see
 2
    pictures of somebody taking a lesson on a kite
 3
    board, they're going to want to try it.
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: I think the kayaking thing
 4
 5
    is big all around the bay, and there's -- aren't
 6
    they developing actual -- do they call them bay
 7
    trails, but they're --
 8
            MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. Water trails.
 9
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Water trails, yeah. So you
10
    get in at Oyster Point and you end up, I don't know,
11
    Coyote Point or beyond; right?
12
            MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. And that --
13
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Where you can get in and get
14
    out, and you can make a three-day trip of it or
15
    more.
           Right?
            MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. That's something that
16
17
    we could definitely find ways to promote.
18
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Be part of that.
19
            MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. That would be good.
20
            MR. McGRATH: So, Tom, you were talking
21
    about the next steps.
22
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Next steps.
23
            MR. McGRATH: So maybe we do need to free
24
    up -- find a time frame --
25
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: I'm sorry.
                                         The city clerk
```

```
would like to read the item into the record so that
 1
 2
    she's completed her obligation.
 3
            CITY CLERK: Discussion of Committee's next
 4
    steps and setting of future meeting dates.
 5
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: And you were saying?
 6
            MR. McGRATH: Oh.
                               I think we've talked
 7
    around the JPA a lot.
 8
            MAYOR ADDIEGO:
                           Yes.
 9
            MR. McGRATH: What do we do now?
10
            MAYOR ADDIEGO:
                           Yeah. Well, I'm intrigued
11
    by the idea of looking at it because it is -- you
12
    know, I think you used the word antiquated. It
13
    certainly is. It seems to be irrelevant today.
14
    Maybe --
15
            MR. FUTRELL: If I could make a suggestion?
16
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Yes.
            MR. FUTRELL: There were several action
17
18
    items that came out, I think very productive. One,
19
    financial presentation. I would love to see what
20
    you've done to improve that, and my staff would love
21
    to be part of that. Second is us collaborating on
22
    this division of labor idea. I think there's some
2.3
    definite merit for that. I think the lawyers need
24
    to do what they need to do on the first item we
25
    discussed. So maybe just taking the action items
```

```
from today and putting those together and that would
 1
 2
    be the focus of the next meeting.
 3
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Great.
            MR. MILLER: I'm just trying -- what was the
 4
 5
    second one you mentioned?
 6
            MR. FUTRELL: Well, first is the financial
 7
    presentation. Second is the division of labor at
 8
    the site. Who actually is doing what between City
 9
    staff and Harbor District staff out there. Let's
10
    get a real clear picture of the perhaps informal
11
    collaboration that is taking place.
12
            MR. McGRATH: And recognizing nobody's going
13
    to get into trouble for helping out the other's
14
    entity. These are relationships --
15
            MR. FUTRELL: Right.
16
            MR. McGRATH: -- that have developed --
17
            MS. BRENNAN: Evolved.
18
            MR. McGRATH: -- over many, many years.
19
            MR. MILLER: It doesn't say in the JPA who
20
    is responsible for light bulbs.
21
            MR. McGRATH: It does not, right.
22
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: That's fine. Nobody is
23
    going to get in trouble. Right, Brian?
24
            (Laughter.)
25
            MR. MATTUSCH: I think Brian was -- I think
```

```
Brian was also going to bring us the breakdown of
 1
 2
    that long-term and short-term goal.
 3
            MS. NORMANDY: I don't think it was the
 4
    long-term. It was the short-term, 285.
 5
            MR. FUTRELL: The short-term, 285,000.
 6
            (Simultaneous overlapping speakers.)
 7
            MR. MATTUSCH: The short term?
 8
            MS. NORMANDY: Yeah.
            MR. FUTRELL: I do think that is a concern
 9
    of mine. That the clock is ticking, as you have
10
11
    pointed out, on getting these repairs made.
12
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Uh-huh.
13
            MR. FUTRELL: At some point that needs to
14
    get going. So I'm not sure what progress the
15
    attorneys can make on some better understanding for
    this liaison committee or perhaps the full boards to
16
17
    discuss the issue of who is going to pay for that in
    light of the clock.
18
19
            MR. MILLER: It may not be a question with a
20
    simple legal answer.
21
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Right. It's going to be
22
    gray.
23
            And I'd like to make a commitment. I'm so
24
    impressed that you've taken the time to become so
25
    intimately acquainted with Oyster Point, that you've
```

```
taken at least that one site visit. And I've seen
 1
 2
    you out there before with cameras. But I think I'm
 3
    going to impose upon my colleague that she give up a
    portion of one of her very valuable Monday day-offs
 4
 5
    and maybe we could do a site visit together. And
 6
    I'll buy you breakfast.
 7
            MS. NORMANDY: Okay. Oh, breakfast.
 8
    Finally, like --
 9
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: I know, I know.
10
            MR. MATTUSCH: You didn't buy us breakfast.
11
            MS. BRENNAN: Definitely try to, if you can,
12
    set it up so Jim can walk with you.
13
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Okay.
14
            MR. McGRATH: Yeah.
15
                           I really conclude just to say
            MS. NORMANDY:
    thank you to the both of you and your staff for
16
17
    being here. I think -- I want to say if this really
18
    happened prior to any council discussion, it would
19
    have been probably more a healthier discussion.
20
            MAYOR ADDIEGO:
21
            MS. NORMANDY: But it's more of I think we
22
    both agree there's a lot of hearsay and finger
23
    pointing and who said what, that emotions and
24
    passion gets a little accelerated. But I can tell
25
    you I learned a lot sitting across from, you know,
```

```
1
    you four today; and I appreciate that. More of
 2
    enlightening me on some of the stuff that I did not
 3
    know of. And I was thinking I was just going to sit
    back and thinking what's this meeting going to be
 4
 5
    like. But this was really helpful and beneficial.
 6
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Good first meeting. I can't
 7
    say it any better than that.
 8
            MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. Thank you for having
 9
    us.
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: I'm very thankful for the
10
11
    spirit in which you've arrived and helped us along.
12
            MS. BRENNAN: Thank you for organizing it.
13
            MR. FUTRELL: Did we want to try and pick a
14
    date now, or circulate that by email?
15
            MS. NORMANDY: I think we can circulate it
    by email.
16
17
            MR. FUTRELL: Okay.
            MS. NORMANDY: I think, you know, whatever
18
19
    works --
20
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: What time frame out are you
21
    looking at? What date to get some of the
22
    data and --
23
            COUNCIL WOMAN NORMANDY: I'd welcome sooner
24
    than later.
25
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: So you would like to keep it
```

```
within a month?
 1
 2
            MS. NORMANDY: In a month, less than a
 3
    month, a few weeks. Just to be able to touch base
 4
    again.
 5
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: A month?
 6
            MR. McGRATH: Month.
 7
            MR. FUTRELL: And you and I can collaborate
    on some dates and then circulate them, and hopefully
 8
 9
    find something that works within that month.
10
            MR. McGRATH: Agreed.
11
            MS. NORMANDY: Thank you.
12
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: Okay. That's a good first
13
    meeting.
            MR. MATTUSCH: Excellent.
14
15
            MAYOR ADDIEGO: So we'll declare the meeting
16
    adjourned.
17
             (The meeting concluded at 5:26 p.m.)
18
                            -000-
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) ss
3	County of San Mateo)
4	
5	I hereby certify that the foregoing meeting
6	were taken at the time and place herein named, that
7	the transcript is a true record of the proceedings
8	as reported and transcribed by me, a duly certified
9	shorthand reporter in the state of California and a
10	disinterested person.
11	I further certify that I am not interested
12	in the outcome of the said action, nor connected
13	with nor related to any of the parties in said
14	action nor to their respective counsel.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name
16	on May 16, 2016.
17	
18	
19	
20	Kellie a Tollars
21	KELLIE A. ZOLLARS, CSR No. 5735
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER 5 TRANSCRIP	I OF MEETING PROCEEDING	
\$	2011 29:3	
\$1.7 66:9 69:11	2014 10:5 62:24	5
\$13.5 64:16	2015 61:18	5 2:19 78:20
\$285,000 6:25 8:21	2016 1:11 3:2 4:24	5.7 63:8
\$5.7 63:3	5:12,20 90:16	5:26 1:11 89:17
	2019 31:7,10 64:14	5:30 78:25
1	2020 31:11	50 72:14
1 2:6 30:13	2026 48:23	500,000 30:13
10 18:2 21:5,7 29:17 32:24 33:6,15 40:21	20th 17:22	5735 1:19 90:21
50:24 62:15 63:6	21st 7:2 44:7,8	
73:10	25 43:25 44:8,10 46:25	6 6 18:5 25:10 44:13,17
100 29:19,24 46:11	74:13	46:24 54:15,18
75:25	25th 4:18	650.952.0774 1:23
100-year 5:10	25-year 74:14	650.952.8688 1:23
10th 4:16	27 34:15,20	66 2:24
10-year 28:14 29:19	28 1:11 3:2	
11 75:24 76:2,12	285 8:14 9:5 38:14 45:6	7
12 44:22 46:24	86:4	7 18:5 44:14,19,25
1243 1:22	285,000 8:1 86:5	46:24 47:10,12
12-week 44:15	28th 3:10	70s 42:13 60:3
13 6:16 22:2 38:24,25		77 60:4
39:3	3 3 2:6,8 4:11 24:15,17	
13th 5:20	33:13 48:12,14	8 35:23
14 39:1 75:25 76:1	3:30 1:11 3:2	84 2:19
15 39:1 60:9	30 56:3,4 57:4 60:15,20	
16 67:13 90:16	61:1 76:1	9
17 27:9	30th 4:24 5:4,12	94080 1:22
17,000 8:11	38 42:15	9th 4:15
17th 5:23		
18 67:4	4	<u>A</u> ability 52:11
18th 65:15	4 2:8,15 24:15,17 48:15	_
1975 59:5,8	40 26:4	able 9:23 23:20 52:13 58:23 61:1 64:12 78:
1976 17:22 22:13	400 1:14	89:3
1977 58:24 59:2	408.275.1122 1:23	Absolutely 12:1,4
1982 23:6	40-plus 60:17	absurd 28:10
	40-year 51:21	accelerate 50:10
2	45 52:5	accelerated 87:24
2 2:7 33:3,7	48 2:15	acceptance 7:11
2007 10.5		I -

2007 10:5

accepted 7:5 44:5	44:2,6,8,11,19,22,25	67:6,15,18 69:1 89:10
access 56:8 60:22	45:3,22 46:23 47:10,14,16,21,24	agreement 5:24 6:7
accommodate 25:2	48:10,14,10,21,24	17:15 28:7 30:7,22 32:12,13 33:9 48:17
accord 15:13	50:8,20 51:21 52:23	59:6 66:11 67:14,22
accordance 7:8	53:5,17,19 54:25 55:17 56:10,14,21	68:3,7,19 73:16
according 25:9 42:11	57:7,10,23 58:3	agreements 2:16 31:15
accumulated 12:13	60:3,18,23 61:3,8,14 62:21 63:21 64:9	Ah 78:11
accurate 36:18,24 57:18	65:21 70:2 71:10	ahead 3:8
achieving 5:9	72:1,3,6,13 76:14,18 77:20 78:11,13,21	alive 30:10
acknowledging 10:2	79:3,5,8 80:18,22	allocation 9:17
acknowledgment 10:7	82:6 83:4,9,13,18,22,25	allowing 43:16
acquainted 86:25	83:4,9,13,18,22,25	allows 15:17
across 58:11 59:24	86:12,21 87:9,13,20	alluded 48:21 63:14
87:25	88:6,10,20,25 89:5,12,15	alone 42:15
acted 74:15	addition 13:12	already 7:23 11:11,17
action 36:2 84:17,25 90:12,14	address 3:23 4:22 10:6	47:7 66:14 68:1 71:24 alteration 33:14
active 77:24 81:19	14:20 22:8 35:5 39:20	am 90:11
actively 31:4	41:4 64:8,24 67:12 69:21 76:5	amended 72:25
activity 82:14	addressed 38:22 39:25	amicable 51:24 62:3,14
actual 38:16 83:6	62:24	66:7
actually 9:3 27:16	addressing 10:5,10	amount 8:14 18:8 60:1
30:17 31:3 35:8	14:10	63:2
36:11,16,25	adjourned 89:16	ancient 28:3
37:6,15,18 38:16,18 41:11 44:17 53:24	administration 53:21	and/or 59:3
54:3 57:17 58:8 60:5	adopted 65:10	annual 50:13
61:20 73:2 75:8 76:4 77:16 85:8	advantage 81:12,17	answer 15:7 26:2,4
add 24:22 25:1 54:20	advisory 46:15	86:20
55:5	aerial 35:18 37:20	answered 75:21
added 54:12,22	affect 65:3	<pre>anticipated 18:6,23 72:14</pre>
Addiego 3:4,7,12,13,20	affiliated 42:10	antiquated 84:12
4:7 7:18 8:6,9,13,19 9:6 12:5 13:7	against 15:20 26:19	anybody 42:17 50:18
21:21,24 22:20	agencies 42:21 43:3	57:21
23:3,16 24:24 25:11,14,24 26:12	agency 42:21	anyone 7:19 8:19
27:11 28:22 29:14	agenda 2:4 29:2 33:3 65:15 74:3	anything 16:8 26:21
32:16 36:19,23 37:2,9,14,22	ago 49:20 60:6 68:20	27:7 57:20 58:22 60:20 69:13
38:2,5,9,12 40:18	72:14	anyway 19:19 49:5 64:14
41:21,25	agreed 6:5 8:14 59:3,12	anywhere 65:7
43:10,15,19,22		

52:24 53:1,7,10 77:17 ASSOCIATES 1:21 **apologize** 23:15 46:12 83:5,6 apparent 76:25 **assume** 22:18 BCDC appeared 35:8 **assumed** 64:22 56:4,10,16,21,22,24 appears 58:18 assuming 40:11 57:21 58:9,15,18,19 59:21 60:2 61:5 attached 16:25 17:2 applied 4:25 beach 75:14 appointee 61:5 **attachments** 4:11 6:16 **beautiful** 25:21,22 **appreciate** 9:1 11:13 attempt 12:8 52:22 54:10 78:16 30:10 52:1 53:17 88:1 attendance 65:19 became 6:10 **approach** 62:10 81:22 attended 81:1 become 15:16 53:22 approaching 8:22 attorney 9:8,14 11:13 66:24 86:24 approvals 44:15 15:4 becomes 75:20 approve 80:25 attorneys 86:15 becoming 75:15approved 30:7 **Authority** 2:16 48:17 begin 4:8 appurtenance 16:24 automatically 33:12 beginning 47:1 appurtenances 16:22 auxiliary 59:11 **believe** 11:9 22:12,18 **appurtenant** 9:20 16:22 available 56:6 26:15 31:2,24 34:3 60:20 75:8 **Avenue** 1:14 **April** 1:11 3:2,10 beneficial 21:1 45:18 area 6:19 16:25 20:7 **averse** 43:15 88:5 22:3 26:8 27:16 29:5 aware 24:9 29:11 70:15 35:2,16 36:25 beneficiaries 20:22 71:9 73:25 77:4 37:3,5,10,11,16,17 benefit 49:25 51:11 **away** 50:17 42:19 53:2 54:2,4 52:18 53:14 71:8 79:23 benefits 67:3 В areas 6:8,9,12,14,15 9:20 20:10 27:13 **Baby** 79:17 benefitting 51:19 28:24 38:17,20,21 backing 6:20 38:6 Besides 55:7 47:7 56:18 70:21 backwards 66:21 best 20:18 25:3 71:1,7 73:6 75:14 81:4 bad 55:16,24 **better** 28:13 29:12 33:6 60:25 63:20 78:2,7 aren't 77:1,14,15 83:5 **ball** 13:4 81:24 86:15 88:7 **argue** 67:21 bandied 49:1 beyond 36:7 83:11 arrangement 30:1 41:7 **banner** 30:12 **bid** 47:22 66:19 base 55:23 78:8 89:3 bidding 44:16,23,24 arrived 29:10 88:11 Based 4:17 58:16 art 65:10 **bikes** 77:18 **basic** 70:12 71:12 **aside** 26:24 biotech 30:14 **basically** 4:11 6:1 **bit** 9:4 10:24 14:23 **aspect** 31:21 43:25 16:20 18:1 25:24 asphalt 37:7 **basis** 74:6 36:16 41:12 49:17 assembled 3:22 65:22 80:15,16 81:21 bathroom 37:12 assess 28:20 blaming 42:17 **bay** 2:11 4:3 6:19 25:17,21 42:16,19,20 assessment 70:25 blockaded 37:7

blue 36:1,5,6 37:11 38:21	51:23 52:24 53:7 54:2 55:1 56:12,16,24	
board 2:12 4:4,18	57:8,12,15,24 58:4	cabling 24:22
5:1,23 6:13 7:3,10	60:5,12 61:7,11 70:8 71:11 72:2,5,8,15	California 1:15,22 59:7
10:19 24:2 33:19 40:8	76:22 77:23 78:12	90:1,9
41:13 44:5 66:15 77:7 80:14 83:3	80:6,20,25 82:8,25	cameras 87:2
boarding 80:9	83:8,12,16,19 85:17 87:11 88:8,12	Camp 79:17
boards 5:16 46:22 80:18	Brian 2:12 4:4,7	cap 26:20 34:10
86:16	7:18,19 35:7 45:5	67:21,22
Board's 4:14	85:23,25 86:1	capabilities 79:25
boat 36:20,21,22 52:4,5	Brian's 8:25	capacity 29:24
58:25	briefing 14:13,15	capital 53:23 63:1
Boating 58:19	briefly 9:12	64:19,25 69:16,18
boats 77:13,19 78:13	bring 46:22 48:15 55:19	captain 78:10
79:16	69:24 74:24 79:24 86:1	careful 42:25
bodies 7:17		case 24:10 59:19 76:24
body 63:25	bringing 5:15 34:14 76:5	cause 35:1
booklet 4:10	brings 21:25 79:22	celebrate 30:21
Boot 79:17	broken 45:7 55:3,13	certain 20:7 71:2
bottom 24:11	brought 9:1 42:2 54:15	certainly 29:16 32:18
box 65:12	72:16 79:13	40:18 41:2,6 47:25 50:6 52:16 63:4,15
bracing 78:19	brunt 53:9	64:11 70:4 75:23
bragging 65:20	budget 65:9	84:13
break 31:15 33:9 65:25	budgeted 10:21,22	certified 1:21 90:8
breakdown 86:1	build 42:8	certify 90:5,11
breakfast 87:6,7,10	building 24:11,12,13,19	challenge 52:12
breaking 66:5,8	25:3,19 30:14 37:13	challenging 80:17
breakout 9:4	buildings 25:16	change 31:21 67:7
Brennan 3:18,19 7:21	built 26:19 42:14	changed 49:20
8:2,12,18 11:4,18,21	bulbs 85:20	changes 33:12
12:2,10 13:8 15:1 17:19 21:24 22:15,21	bunch 15:25 16:2	channels 71:19
23:18,25 24:25	buried 54:17	chapter 27:6
25:12,15,22 27:8,12 28:23 30:15,19	burner 74:23	characterization 69:24
33:1,17 34:6,14,22	business 3:25	characterizing 66:4
35:2,4,7 36:11,21,24	businesses 77:16	chat 61:15
37:4,10,15,24 38:6,10,24	80:11,21	chatted 35:7
39:2,7,9,12 40:4,9,16	busy 80:12	chatting 76:15
41:8,22 42:1 43:11,17 45:19 46:7 48:13,25	buy 87:6,10	check 69:24 77:19
49:2,9,16 50:18,21		checked 58:21

chief 19:15	closed 37:6,11	70:15 81:25
chime 23:25	close-up 39:7	commissions 41:5
chose 64:1	cloud 11:5 12:8	commitment 6:1 21:8
chronology 4:13	Club 77:14	86:23
CIP 64:22	Coast 27:19	committee 3:9 15:8 45:10,25 46:21 48:22
circle 36:13	Coastal	86:16
circulate 88:14,15 89:8	56:4,10,11,12,15,17 57:5 77:18	Committee's 2:19 84:3
citizens 51:11	coaster 20:12	common 56:6,9
city 1:1,8,14 2:18	code 34:21 68:16	communication 46:18
3:12,14,16,18 4:1,15,21,25	collaborate 89:7	communications 82:18
5:4,14,19 6:2,6	collaborating 71:24	compacted 25:17
9:8,14 10:1 11:12 17:8,23 18:7 19:4	84:21	companies 68:11,12
21:1 26:5 28:16 29:6	collaboration 70:22	company 30:9 68:9 69:20
31:15,17 34:7,16 41:18 42:10,14 43:5	85:11	compared 21:12
46:19 48:1,16,18	collaborative 70:14	compile 71:13
49:22 50:22 51:12,18	collaboratively 43:6	complaining 19:12
58:24 59:2,6,12 61:16,17,19,22 62:4	colleague 87:3	complaint 19:15,20
67:15 70:17,18,22	combination 30:7	complete 6:22 45:3
71:1,6 72:6 73:12 74:8 76:12 80:1 82:21	combined 18:18 39:10	<pre>completed 7:24 26:20 76:6 84:2</pre>
83:25 84:3 85:8	comes 19:3 36:16,25	complex 75:21 76:4
City's 29:18 49:17 50:4	37:15 42:4 65:11	compliance 40:24
51:9 59:1 62:18 71:2 72:21	70:20	complicated 27:22
clarify 11:18	coming 19:11 40:11,13,14 47:8	compliment 79:11
clarity 29:9 33:4	54:12 70:11 76:7	components 53:6
classic 75:8	78:15 79:19	compounded 18:17
clean 30:23 34:8,9,10	commenced 47:5	comprehensive 9:1
cleaned 30:25	comment 2:7 3:21 34:18	compressed 24:17
cleanup 33:24	commented 49:5	compressed 24.17
clear 11:8 15:21 16:19	comments 49:4,10	concern 14:19,20 15:12
17:7 28:18 49:14	commercial 82:14	22:3 47:1 57:19 86:9
64:24 85:10	Commission 5:14 19:5 45:14 50:14	concerned 47:25
clearly 9:19 18:23 51:10 72:23 81:23	56:5,10,11,13,17 57:5	conclude 87:15
clerk 3:12,14,16,18 4:1	61:6 64:12 65:9 73:13	concluded 89:17
48:16 83:25 84:3	commissioned 62:25	conclusion 69:10
cloak 13:25	Commissioner 3:16,18 21:24 25:15 33:1 35:6	concur 7:3
clock 86:10,18	46:7	conditions 18:6
close 37:2 68:6	commissioners 5:24	conduit 24:22
	<u> </u>	

Conference 1:14	cool 72:10	current 28:4 60:7 74:17
configuration 61:9	copies 12:13 45:12,20	currently 6:25 7:6
conflict 73:6	copy 6:4 17:20 39:17	cut 68:17
Congratulations 62:20	45:9,11,17 46:10 60:13	cutting 17:16
connect 24:23 54:13	correct 3:6 4:22 31:24	
connected 90:12	35:20 38:4 46:25	damage 37:7
Conservancy 56:15	correctly 7:23 27:17	data 88:22
conservative 44:18	63:15	date 8:11 17:22 27:2
47:19	correspondence 10:2	28:15 31:9 44:7 76:5
consider 62:13	cost 5:16,21 6:24 7:25 21:11,12 31:2 33:24	88:14,21
considerably 35:13	34:8 51:2 75:22	dates 2:20 84:4 89:8
considered 33:3 54:20	costs 50:13 51:16	daunting 27:9
considering 49:12,23	65:2,11 77:10	day 11:22 29:24
considers 5:8	council 1:8 19:4	day-offs 87:4
consistent 9:18,24 10:13	61:17,22 62:5 63:12,24 79:20 87:18	Days 79:13
constituted 46:17	88:23	dead 31:11
construct 42:8	Councilwoman 3:14	deadline 5:1 31:7
constructed 18:2,24	counsel 9:15 11:2 19:1 28:5 43:12 60:7 62:8	deal 31:5,11 33:7,18 67:2,3,8
construction 44:17	90:14	dealing 21:25 22:1 26:3
construed 15:22	counsels 19:1	67:23
consultant 6:6,7 7:7	County 2:17 27:15 40:3	deals 16:21
9:3	48:18 58:15,17 61:25 66:14 77:6 90:3	debt 62:18 64:11,13
consultants 21:18	couple 64:8 68:4 71:14	decades 18:11,13
contained 62:11	course 31:20 64:24	December 4:15,16
contemplated 68:7	65:14,18 67:7 80:14	decided 15:7 59:21 66:7
contemplates 68:19	court 58:17,23 59:19	decides 73:12
context 61:13	67:16	decipher 63:16
continuation 64:22	cover 50:13 77:9	decision 46:3,7
continue 13:19 22:10 29:7 30:2 31:20 33:5	Coyote 83:11	decisions 46:1
61:23 62:12	create 13:4 40:7 62:13	deck 41:24
continuing 18:11 29:25	creates 33:9	declare 89:15
contribute 21:14	creating 66:10	Defendant 58:16
control 2:12 4:4,14 7:3	CRR 1:19	defer 3:4
59:9	Cruz 81:13	deferred 63:2,8 69:16
conversation 14:16 50:9 63:4	CSR 1:19 90:21	deficiencies 41:4
convert 61:1	cure 31:8,10	definite 84:23
	curious 34:2	definitely 53:7,8 57:18

78:5 83:17 87:11	direct 46:17	62:1,3,19,25 64:3,17
definitive 26:2,4	directed 62:13	65:8 66:6,16 68:23 70:22 74:5 76:23 77:2
degree 26:10	direction 7:11 23:23	81:23 85:9
delayed 69:13,15	42:23 47:2 61:21 62:8	District's 6:1 59:2
demand 61:4	director 5:5 77:8	dive 76:3
demonstrate 55:20	Director/City 2:13 4:5	divide 75:24
department 59:7	disagree 29:22 78:9	division 58:19 71:8
82:15,18	disagreeing 46:12	75:9 84:22 85:7
depending 28:15	discharge 67:17	divorce 66:7,22
deposition 15:18	discharged 64:15	dock 19:16,19,23 22:4
derby 79:19,21	discovered 23:7 27:3	24:7 53:24 56:18 75:24,25 76:1,2
design 54:11	discuss 15:18 63:25	docks 19:21 20:1,2,4
desire 63:5	86:17	52:2,7,13,16 54:3
detailed 32:13 69:9	discussed 5:15 76:9 84:25	56:3,4,8 57:4
deteriorating 10:5	discussion 2:8,15,19	document 5:18 6:2 7:1 8:8 17:20,25 21:21
determining 65:11	4:1 13:16 14:22 15:10	25:9 66:20 72:17
develop 78:25	16:10,16 19:2,3 26:1 33:13 48:16 49:13,18	73:4,5
developed 29:6 49:13	50:11 62:6,14 63:7	documented 11:16 27:16
71:15 81:5 85:16	66:10,23 67:9 69:3	63:2 69:22
developer 51:7	84:3 87:18,19	documents 4:12 5:8,22 8:7 9:9,23 10:24
developing 73:3,5 83:6	discussions 15:15 66:5	11:1,9,12,16,17,21,25
development 20:23 21:2 28:17 29:4 30:7 33:8	disembark 19:21	12:12,14,18,19 13:1,13,14,22 14:7
34:25 51:6 53:2 59:8	disinterested 90:10	26:3 39:23
68:20 76:6,7	disparity 74:10	41:2,10,14,19,20
development's 34:22	dissolution 61:25 62:9 67:6	dollars 45:15 50:12
devised 74:19	dissolve 66:15	done 9:11 10:4,17,18,20
devising 73:15	dissolved 61:19	21:22 25:11,12 34:4,7,11,23 44:1,3
devoted 75:19	distributed 65:12	47:20 51:3 55:4,5
dictated 60:21	distribution 56:18	65:8 70:11 73:19 84:20
dictating 61:9	district 1:7 2:17 8:23	door 24:13
difference 35:17 52:14	9:21,24	double 22:16
60:2 69:4	10:1,4,10,11,19 11:10,14 14:9 16:1	double-check 57:17
different 9:16 27:18 42:21 58:3 71:1 74:10	17:10 18:21 19:15	double-whammy 18:16
80:15 81:21	20:1 21:6,7 22:13	doubt 25:23
difficult 63:17	26:6 29:7,18,21 31:16,19 32:24 33:11	download 11:6
dig 30:22 34:10	42:6 46:19 48:18	draft 21:19 23:19,20
diligence 34:4	50:1,23 51:13 58:8,15,18	39:14
	50.0,10,10	

59:3,12,13,21 61:19

Evolved 85:17 dragon 52:4 elevation 35:13 **drain** 6:19 **else** 8:19 **exactly** 35:3 38:4 49:10 drains 6:18,20 38:2 **email** 1:24 88:14,16 **example** 36:3 40:4 67:11 75:9 Drake's 36:14 emotions 87:23 excellent 22:23 89:14 draw 52:23 **employees** 67:2 70:18 exception 14:9 drawings 33:21 empowered 45:25 exchange 46:18 dredge 55:9 encourage 81:12 82:4 execute 33:8 **drive** 20:11 39:13 enforcement 5:3 executed 6:3 **driven** 20:12 engagement 28:13 executive 5:5 drives 31:2 **Engineer** 2:13 4:5 existing 18:6 59:4,14 **dry** 53:11 engineering 5:25 7:23 21:14 40:10 54:13 expand 59:5 **dual** 66:13 62:25 expanded 59:22 **due** 4:24 5:12 34:4 engineers 6:12 expanding 76:2 duly 90:8 **enjoy** 82:6 expect 29:18 during 6:10 9:2 35:23 enlightening 74:2 88:2 38:19 70:10 expectation 77:8 enter 43:16,17 expecting 7:14 **enterprise** 55:14 65:6 expenditures 65:3 **earlier** 15:7 28:15 69:4 75:10,11,16 77:2 expenses 65:7 33:14 50:23 62:15 entire 22:3,5 29:19 expensive 55:5 early 33:2 49:6,19,23 37:23 50:10 64:14 73:12 experienced 20:10 entirely 78:16 76:16 experiencing 54:5 **entity** 85:14 **easier** 28:20 expires 21:4 **entry** 36:19 **easily** 69:22 75:21 explained 52:10 enviable 53:5 east 31:23 32:9 35:1 explaining 77:5 environmental 39:24 54:3 explanation 13:13 envisioned 72:4 **easy** 38:13 explore 26:8 **especially** 26:3 35:10 ecological 30:21 explored 62:16 **essentially** 77:10 78:3 economics 55:12 **extend** 31:22 **estimate** 6:24 44:18 **edge** 36:1 **extension** 5:1,2 76:19 evaluate 27:24 effect 5:20 events 6:10 79:12 82:14 extensions 25:1 **effort** 41:17 **extent** 35:21 36:15 **everybody** 4:9 13:12 efforts 40:2 45:24 68:21 71:19 **eye** 74:11 **EIR** 29:3 everyone 17:13 50:6 **either** 46:16 63:5 everyone's 71:9 electeds 26:1 **fabulous** 22:15 46:20 **everything** 42:11 72:22 53:8 **electrical** 24:25 54:23 evidence 15:16 **face** 5:10 elevated 15:11

evolution 73:15

Facebook 82:20 financially 42:25 74:17 focus 85:2 facilities 51:17 52:20 financials 34:5 63:16 focused 53:23 54:7 59:11 82:4 **finding** 55:14 foot 30:14 35:23 52:5 facility 19:20 51:4,5 56:3,4 57:4 60:15,20 findings 63:25 52:18 54:10 55:6 59:4 61:1 **finds** 33:7 fact 30:25 35:14 46:13 foregoing 90:5 **fine** 85:22 52:3 76:12 77:10 78:3 forged 64:4 finger 87:22 factors 30:5 **forming** 39:24 fire 71:4 facts 55:21 58:16,23 forth 10:14 66:5 61:13 firm 30:9 40:10 fortunately 20:2,6,8 fair 48:10 51:24 79:9 first 3:24 11:8 15:6 forward 30:11 31:5,9,14 18:4 24:2 35:8 43:22 fairly 36:24 44:18 32:23 33:4 61:21 47:4 65:8 81:23 84:24 47:22 67:4 63:18 64:25 65:14 85:6 88:6 89:12 **fall** 17:15 76:21 **fish** 77:20 79:25 fathom 43:1 frame 43:19 44:13 46:23 **fishing** 79:18 83:24 88:20 **favor** 17:13 fish-rearing 79:25 Francisco 1:1,15,22 Fax 1:23 2:11,18 4:3 5:19 **five** 32:20 **fear** 15:18 17:23 20:21 42:12 **five-year** 64:22 48:19 58:25 79:14 feasibility 10:4 fix 8:14 22:7,11 26:9 **frankly** 63:10 feasible 5:8 38:13,16 40:23 free 83:23 **February** 5:4,23 43:16,17,22 55:2 67:10 68:2 70:18 freelancers 80:23 feel 20:11 41:23 43:7 76:10 **freely** 15:17 feeling 53:8 **fixed** 73:20 frequency 5:11 **feels** 28:2 **flaps** 38:3 frequently 82:5 feet 17:18 18:5 **flat** 6:17 24:15,17 25:10 front 4:10 7:10 40:3,8 54:15,18 **flexible** 24:22 67:19 74:23 79:10 **ferry** 54:4 **float** 20:3 **fruitful** 16:13 figure 28:12 38:10 55:7 floated 64:20 frustrating 72:19 78:24 floating 20:1 24:18 **full** 36:15 41:24 46:22 **filed** 14:9 52:11 86:16 **flood** 2:9 4:2,21 **fill** 25:17 30:23 34:10 5:7,10,11 7:4 20:5 fully 6:3 40:12 52:13,17 37:16 functions 75:10,13,18 54:12,15,21 55:5,7 **flooded** 19:23 22:5 56:3 60:16 fundamental 67:23 69:8 **flooding** 4:23 14:10 **filled** 70:16 **funding** 5:25 10:10 18:12 19:24 20:15 39:24 fill's 54:22 36:12,15 **funds** 26:18 37:8,15,19,20 53:9,13 final 7:12 8:15 **FUTRELL** 11:8,20 12:1,7 finally 42:22 87:8 **floods** 37:18 13:3,24 14:24 15:6 financial 49:25 63:15 22:12,23 23:1,6,10,15 flown 35:24 36:9 65:5 84:19 85:6 29:16 30:17,20 31:24

32:5,11,18,22 granted 68:25 18:21 19:4,15,17 34:3,9,13,17 61:12,16 22:13 26:5 29:18,21 grants 65:2 62:22 63:22 75:6 31:16,19 32:24 33:11 graphic 35:6 36:4 82:12,17,20,23 42:6 45:14 48:2,18 84:15,17 85:6,15 50:1 53:25 58:15,18 grapple 28:11 86:5,9,13 88:13,17 59:21 61:19 grass 17:16 89:7 62:1,2,19,24 64:2 66:6,16 68:23 77:16 gray 26:8 86:22 **future** 2:20 20:22 21:2 79:21 80:8 81:14 85:9 27:11,19 28:13,18 great 16:4,11 45:19,23 29:13 30:4 52:15 harbormaster 24:5 52:9 54:11 61:11 77:23 55:20 64:21 76:20 54:14 70:16 78:1 81:9 82:22,25 78:2 84:4 85:3 harbormaster's 24:8 greater 22:3,11 harbors 78:18 G green 38:21 hard 18:20 55:2,21 garbage 28:6 78:24 ground 20:9 31:15 33:9 general 2:14 4:6 6:4 37:25 hardest 56:2 7:15 39:18 42:23 73:25 **group** 46:15 hardly 28:3 generally 35:16 grow 83:1 harming 52:11 growing 80:7 generating 77:9 harm's 22:10 geotechnical 17:21 quess 8:20 9:10 17:6 **Harsh** 57:23 22:20 18:20 20:19 22:7 haven't 21:19 23:3 28:13,18,25 43:6,14 gets 37:2 61:15 87:24 41:11,20,22 49:13 48:12 49:4 72:22 50:19 71:18 76:11 **getting** 15:2 16:5,6 guest 19:16,19 22:4 18:14 37:4 72:16 78:2 having 14:16 18:20 24:7 81:22 86:11 49:12 50:1 56:2 58:5 quys 11:12 29:10 43:7 66:2,24 88:8 **gist** 39:19 49:24 66:6,8 81:9 haystack 11:23 given 39:17 50:19 78:10 Hayward 39:18 40:6 **GM** 77:25 Н **halibut** 79:21 **headed** 21:17 goal 86:2 healthier 87:19 **hall** 1:14 72:7 **goals** 73:11 hear 14:24 50:22 51:25 hanging 32:19 gone 11:13 69:23 happen 20:23 28:17,19 Google 37:19,20,21 31:6 51:15 70:7 73:23 heard 14:17 15:25 16:1 gorgeous 51:6 32:25 45:16 57:16 happened 24:16 31:3 68:4 72:22 Gotcha 35:4 69:23 87:18 hearing 13:20 21:15 **gotten** 80:10 happens 19:16 30:3 49:3 52:2 65:15 governing 5:16 46:22 31:14 hearsay 87:22 happy 10:25 14:3 65:14 government 23:16 82:23 help 16:6 21:11 29:12 grab 23:1 52:17 71:6 harbor 1:7 2:17 3:16,18 grading 45:7 5:23 7:14 8:23 **helped** 88:11 grand 1:14 62:12 63:24 9:21,23 helpful 11:24 12:22 10:1,4,10,11,19 grant 5:2 13:11,21 45:13 88:5

11:10,14 14:9 17:10

helping 85:13 illustrates 25:3 indicated 36:17 helps 70:21 I'm 10:25 11:3 14:3 informal 85:10 15:2,3 16:7 17:20 hereby 90:5 **information** 7:24 11:5 18:20 21:14 23:6,13 27:24 41:24 43:8 herein 90:6 24:9 25:24 29:2 32:3 46:9,21 59:20 73:1 33:15 34:2,4 40:11 he's 71:18 78:10 informed 7:13 41:23 42:17 46:1,9,13 Hi 9:14 47:12,24 52:2 53:15 informs 19:4 55:4 61:5 64:5,11 **hide** 13:4 infrastructure 19:25 70:23 71:9 72:21,23 high 10:23 19:22 20:13 22:9 54:18 73:17 74:7 76:9 81:10 70:19 82:1,9 83:25 84:10 initial 7:10 9:22 26:9 higher 20:9 24:16 35:13 85:4 86:14,23 87:2 47:12 37:24 88:10 inland 35:20,23 36:4 highly 22:15 imagine 12:5 insignificant 64:18 high-water 35:25 36:5 immediate 41:7 insinuated 46:12 **impact** 19:25 hired 6:6 Instagram 82:20 impacted 20:9 22:5 historically 9:25 installed 26:20 impacting 20:14 history 11:14 33:20 instead 11:22,23 **hitting** 73:11 implement 8:16 instituted 74:1 implementation 4:22 5:7 **honest** 56:20 intensity 5:11 8:4,5 43:25 hope 19:3 30:24 51:12 intent 13:3 41:4 50:17 important 51:16 71:21 53:9 69:21 74:19 77:25 78:1 75:15 interest 20:18 49:19,22 51:4,9 **impose** 87:3 hoped-for 70:5 interested 9:3 14:24 hopefully 33:6 89:8 impossible 28:24 21:15 27:25 48:23 impressed 86:24 hoping 15:22 41:13 50:5 82:2 90:11 hours 29:1 impression 48:21 49:21 interesting 14:21 25:14 27:14 58:12 70:24 house 75:11 **improve** 84:20 73:3 improvements 16:23 human-powered 80:8 interests 66:18 51:15 53:23,24 63:5 internal 34:5 include 39:16 52:16 53:24 I'd 14:24 27:25 86:23 interpretation 17:14 88:23 included 7:24 58:17 interpreted 9:25 59:8 73:1 idea 18:10 29:20 45:19 Interrupt 75:4 46:20 70:25 72:16 including 42:11 intimately 86:25 73:17 84:11,22 incomplete 27:4 ideas 46:18 53:16 intrigued 84:10 increased 5:11 intrinsically 78:18 identified 6:12 increases 18:15 inundation 27:13 identify 6:8 increasing 19:24 35:11,15,21 identifying 65:1 incredible 81:3 invest 21:6 29:25 69:18 I'll 4:9,12 61:8 81:23 indicate 36:15 investigated 33:25 87:6

34:15 81:8,15,16,21 86:21 **keen** 49:18,24 87:21 investigation 17:21 **Kellie** 1:19 90:21 I've 15:24 17:24 24:2 investment 51:1,20**key** 69:25 27:14 28:4 32:25 kinds 24:22 33:16,20 42:11 53:12 investors 33:25 57:16,24 58:14 60:19 king 4:18 35:19,23 36:5 62:6 65:22 68:3,9 invoice 8:10 38:19 72:18,22 76:14 78:14 involved 42:9 63:23 **kite** 79:13 83:2 79:23 80:1 81:9 87:1 involvement 48:5 kiting 81:3 J irrelevant 84:13 knew 9:8 18:7 41:15 **January** 4:24 5:20 isn't 20:3,14,15 36:12 74:11 81:6 **Jason** 9:14 13:17 14:3 31:24 **labor** 71:8 84:22 85:7 **issue** 10:6 11:15 14:21 **Jason's** 14:25 19:7 20:16 22:8 26:22 lack 74:6 33:2 40:19 42:5 54:7 Jim 24:4 70:16 71:5,17 **LAFCo** 49:3,4 61:18,24 57:3,19 62:22,23 87:12 62:10 63:24 65:15,17 63:3,10 82:14 86:17 77:1,7 **job** 11:22 54:11 **issued** 4:14,19 65:17 78:1,2,7 81:24 laid 39:19 **issues** 64:8 74:8 joining 37:3 land 16:18 item 3:24 4:11 33:3 17:1,2,4,5,8,14,17 Joint 2:15 48:16 48:12,14,15 65:24 20:3,21 24:15,16 jointly 59:3 84:1,24 30:25 31:17,18,25 32:5,7 33:10,18 **jotting** 46:24 **itemized** 45:6 46:6 35:13,16 50:24 54:14 **JPA** 9:18 16:21 17:7 items 2:4 84:18,25 landfill 4:23 5:9 17:24 21:4 28:1 29:17 it's 7:12 9:25 10:21 33:2,11,14 39:24 42:2 18:1,18,24 20:13 21:1 13:3 14:15,18 17:5,17 25:17 26:19 29:5 46:14 50:10,17 59:24 18:12,15,18 30:15,18,22 33:24 64:23 66:20 67:11 19:8,19,23,24 34:8 42:7,8,13,18 69:22 72:11,17,24,25 20:3,6,7,11,13 21:12 73:1 76:5 84:7 85:19 landfills 30:24 22:10,19,22,24 judge 42:12 42:16,19 43:2 25:5,8,14,20 26:5,12 27:6,11,22 28:3,10,11 landlords 20:22 judgment 42:12 31:25 32:2 35:9 landlord-tenant 68:5 **July** 61:18 37:17,24,25 39:10 40:12 42:13,16,18,20 landscaping 59:10 jump 13:6 64:7 44:18,24 45:23 **June** 79:22 language 26:14 46:16,20 47:8,22 jurisdiction 56:13,19 **large** 12:13 50:25 52:8,21,24,25 53:8,13 54:6,10 larger 39:11 52:13,17 jury 62:12 63:25 55:1,4,5,6,24 56:16 largest 78:8 58:13 60:19 61:2 K 63:14,17,20 65:10 **last** 7:1 9:6,15 13:15 67:3,4,14,18,24 68:1 **kayak** 81:7 14:18 18:13 27:6 69:16,22 70:5 29:24 60:8 65:10 74:3 kayaking 80:13 81:6 71:15,21 72:19,20 83:4 late 62:24 73:10 74:11 75:15 **kayaks** 80:9,13 81:15 77:17,20,23 **later** 15:18 55:2 66:12

78:12,13,16 80:10

88:24 **lie** 9:10 **loses** 76:1 Laughter 85:24 lies 59:1 **lost** 15:2 59:22 60:1 77:11 launch 36:22 life 51:22 **lot** 10:6,16 19:6 21:6 lawsuit 58:8 60:1 lifestyle 66:23 25:8 27:3 36:1,13,14 **lawyer** 67:20 lift 70:20 37:6,12 39:4 41:15 42:19 48:10 50:19 lawyers 84:23 lifted 58:1 52:3,19 53:5 leachate 59:9 light 41:3 85:20 86:18 67:10,21,23 70:19 67:13,17,24 73:6 74:7,8,12 77:2 lights 70:18 79:22 80:3 84:7 leaching 67:25 limits 59:1 87:22,25 **lean** 66:1 line 16:10 36:6 61:8 **lots** 6:21 **learn** 16:3 19:7 lines 39:23 **love** 65:18 78:6 learned 58:7 70:12 71:5 list 13:17 60:16 74:4 84:19,20 87:25 78:25 lovely 78:18 learning 19:6 listened 62:7 low 6:9 **lease** 55:22 lists 71:14 lower 35:24 leased 74:4 **litigation** 15:14,17 low-lying 6:18**leases** 68:22 73:24 little 14:23 15:2 16:20 lucrative 61:2 74:9,10,13,15,22 18:1 24:4 25:24 35:10 75:1,12 37:10,13,17 39:15 **least** 17:10 24:15 26:9 41:12 42:21 61:12 32:25 45:25 48:22 maintain 21:1 29:25 65:19,22,25 80:15,16 63:7 87:1 43:4 59:13 69:12,18 81:21 87:24 **led** 26:15 60:19 **live-aboard** 57:9,19 maintaining 17:9 66:23 59:22 **legal** 86:20 67:16 live-aboards 57:13 legitimate 66:18 maintenance 9:19 loans 68:24 **less** 33:19 44:13 89:2 16:17,18 17:15,16 **located** 19:17 63:2,9 69:13,15 lesson 83:2 location 53:3 major 25:19 36:19 52:8 lessons 80:13 locations 77:4 majority 10:15 **let's** 17:22 54:21 58:4 59:16 85:9 logical 21:5 **man** 56:9 **letter** 5:23 7:2 16:4 **long** 10:17 11:15 19:9 manage 59:13 68:14,15 29:7,14 40:19 55:9 letting 66:3 managed 64:1460:6 68:20 69:9 74:15 **level** 10:23 17:17 management 41:12 45:15 longer 62:22 18:15,17 19:8 25:2,4 68:9,11,12 69:19 27:15,20 35:18 longer-term 51:19 manager 2:14 4:6 6:4 39:20,22 long-term 2:9 4:2 5:7 7:15 42:24 50:22 liability 42:7 7:7 21:15 23:18 28:1 73:25 38:22 39:15 40:19 liaison 1:7 3:9 15:8 managers 20:20 43:20 47:4 50:25 46:15 48:22 86:16 Manager's 1:14 53:10 73:22 86:2,4 License 1:19

loop 9:2 19:5

managing 18:22 29:7

50:1 77:7 83:23 84:14,25 8:1,3,8,10,16 87:5 21:18,23 23:21 34:12 manner 65:7 66:8 35:20 36:8 38:15,25 Mayor 3:4,7,12,13,20 map 32:12 37:19 39:5,17 40:6,14 4:7 7:18 8:6,9,13,19 43:21,24 mapping 27:20 9:6 12:5 13:7 44:4,7,10,14,21,23 21:21,24 22:20 maps 37:20,21 45:2,4,7,11,20 23:3,16 24:24 47:3,13,15,18,22 March 7:2,8 44:7,8 25:11,14,24 26:12 27:11 28:22 29:14 McMinn 2:12 4:4 marina 6:1 16:17 32:16 36:19,23 17:9,12 18:22 20:20 mean 11:7 12:13,25 37:2,9,14,22 29:7 31:18,20 14:4,8,16 15:3 18:7 38:2,5,9,12 40:18 50:1,12,16 55:15 20:12 21:8 25:6 58:25 59:4,10,14 41:21,25 27:3,17 28:10 34:9 43:10,15,19,22 75:12 76:13 35:25 40:18 41:8 44:2,6,8,11,19,22,25 42:1,15,18 45:16 Marine 36:14 45:3,21,22 46:23 47:11,25 50:4,8 52:22 mark 36:5 47:10,14,16,21,24 54:10 57:21 61:20 48:10,14,20 49:1,8,15 marked 47:7 72:18 73:7,19 74:12 50:8,20 51:21 52:23 76:11 marker 35:25 53:5,17,19 54:25 means 15:5 29:24 46:17 55:17 56:10,14,21 **market** 74:25 57:7,10,23 58:3 measures 59:9 marketing 33:21 81:22 60:3,18,23 61:3,8,14 **media** 82:2 62:21 63:21 64:9 master 7:15 28:9 65:21 70:2 71:10 58:20,21 59:5,8 medium-sized 67:5 72:1,3,6,13 76:14,18 meeting 1:3 2:20 master's 19:17 77:20 78:11,13,21 3:5,9,21 14:18 15:19 79:3,5,8 80:18,22 Mateo 2:17 40:2 48:17 24:3 43:14 49:5 65:16 82:6 58:14,17 90:3 66:4 69:23 78:25 81:1 83:4,9,13,18,22,25 material 33:21 84:4 85:2 88:4,6 84:5,8,10,16 85:3,22 89:13,15,17 90:5 math 46:25 86:12,21 87:9,13,20 88:6,10,20,25 meetings 19:11 27:15 Matt 10:8 89:5,12,15 49:17 matter 26:6 47:8 69:8 mcgrath 8:25 member 3:22 19:14 48:22 78:17 12:11,16,19,22,24 52:5 65:23 Mattusch 3:6,16,17 8:5 13:2,5,9 16:24 17:2,4 members 77:14 16:16 17:6 22:17,22 23:7 31:22 26:11,17,24 55:7,18 mention 24:1,10 68:4 32:1,10,13,21 35:5 56:11,15,23 57:3 36:3,10 38:4,8 81:10 60:14,19,24 77:22 39:6,8,10 56:17,22,25 mentioned 24:7 26:22 78:14,22 79:17 85:25 57:5,11,14 64:7,10 55:11 85:5 86:7 87:10 89:14 69:6 72:11,14 75:5,7 merit 84:23 76:16,20 80:24 may 5:12 9:15 16:4 82:9,13,19,22 Merlo 24:5 70:16 21:22 25:11,12 83:20,23 84:6,9 33:3,13 43:20 49:19 met 9:7 13:15 85:12,16,18,21 87:14 58:19 60:10 65:3,15 89:6,10 microphone 66:1 82:15 86:19 90:16 McGrath 2:14 4:5 46:6 middle 37:22 62:2 64:2 maybe 14:3,8 16:9 24:9 25:17 26:8 29:10 31:2 McGraw 55:19 74:1 Mike 29:15 48:21 64:19 37:2 38:3 41:5 50:2 72:22 mcminn 4:9

67:12 71:18,24 73:14

77:4 MILLER 13:6,10 14:3,15 **nearly** 55:15 15:21 16:11,14 necessarily 26:4 36:6 occupancy 52:11,12 45:21,23 46:4,11 77:15 75:25 60:10 85:4,19 86:19 **needle** 11:23 occupy 30:13 million 63:3,8 64:16 occur 32:5 34:25 68:20 **negotiate** 41:6 50:14 66:9 69:11 negotiations 14:1 occurred 25:18 69:16 mind 61:12 63:7 74:22 78:6 occurring 20:15 nervous 61:15 mine 86:10 Ocean 59:7 newer 52:16 minute 69:25 October 17:22 44:2 59:2 **newsletter** 82:15,21 misleading 35:10 **nice** 19:19 53:1 54:10 **OES** 10:9 81:16 Mission 1:22 offer 15:22 21:11,13 nicer 51:3 52:16 55:6 mitigate 6:23 offering 71:3 77:2 78:4 **nobody** 45:16 85:22 **modest** 40:23 office 19:17 24:8 25:16 Monday 87:4 **nobody's** 85:12 **officials** 15:9,12 nonenterprise 55:14 money 10:21,22 21:6 offline 14:4 26:23 40:20 68:21,23 nonmarina 78:15 off-line 19:2 69:20 76:1 **nor** 46:15 62:10 **oh** 8:8 16:5 39:7 monies 70:6 90:12,13,14 58:13,20 59:17 month 89:1,2,3,5,6,9 **Normandy** 3:14,15 78:14,21 84:6 87:7 months 49:20 45:5,9,12 46:2,5 **Okay** 3:7 8:12 9:6 13:24 53:18 79:2,4,7 86:3,8 22:24 30:19 32:11 Mother 18:18 87:7,15,21 34:6 35:4 38:10 39:9 mountain 52:25 88:15,18,23 89:2,11 40:9,16 41:21,25 44:6 move 3:24 7:20 31:9,14 47:16,21,24 48:10 **note** 82:9 49:8 50:20 55:17 32:22 noteworthy 43:9 65:22 66:2 87:7,13 moved 30:11 nothing 69:1 88:17 89:12 moving 33:4 42:23 **notice** 49:16 **old** 63:17 74:13 47:2,16 **noticed** 24:3 53:12 one-year 31:8 mow 71:7 November 4:18 **oOo** 3:3 89:18 mowing 71:6nuts-and-bolts 70:23 open 3:5 13:20 24:20 **mulling** 76:15 34:10 murky 30:5 \cap opening 74:11 myself 82:1 **obligation** 29:23 79:6 operate 29:23 31:20 84:2 59:14 80:5 obligations 64:15 **operating** 80:11,21 natural 8:20 observation 22:4 **operation** 9:19 16:17 **Nature** 18:19 obstacles 62:17 63:12 17:9 32:2 66:25 69:2 navigate 12:8 operations 68:24 obtained 11:10 Navigation 59:7 opinion 9:16 13:18 obvious 70:5 58:17,24 **nearby** 30:16

obviously 18:9 42:2 54:22 73:18 74:20

77:14 80:15 81:2,18 opportunities 78:4 payment 15:14 83:10 86:25 **opportunity** 7:16 15:9 **pdf** 22:21 21:3 52:19 pencilling 55:12 opposed 15:10 pencils 65:12 **p.m** 1:11 3:2 89:17 optimist 76:10 **peninsula** 22:5 24:7 package 47:23 option 33:7,13 61:23,24 37:23 packet 5:18 6:3 7:1 62:2 pens 79:25 paddle 80:9,14 options 5:9 33:4,16 **people** 19:11 23:16 61:21 page 2:3 6:16 18:2 22:2 45:20 52:1,3,4 27:9 38:24 39:3 41:10 order 2:6 3:9 53:2,22 55:16,24 56:5 67:4,13 73:14 58:15 68:14 75:15 4:14,19,20 5:6,13,17 paid 19:16,18 62:20 77:6,11,21 78:4,14 64:13 79:13,22,24 80:2,4 orders 67:16 81:11,12,16,20 82:4 paragraph 67:5 ordinarily 79:23 83:1 **parcel** 32:14 organizing 88:12 **per** 6:6 69:11 parity 74:7 original 67:14 perceive 35:16 park 9:19 16:22 31:19 originally 24:13 26:20 percent 29:19,24 46:11 35:16 59:10 75:14 70:5 75:25 76:1 parking 6:21 10:6,16 others 32:25 33:15 **percentage** 57:12 58:3 36:13,14 37:6,12 39:4 other's 85:13 perform 29:19 67:10,21,23 70:19 ourselves 26:7 parks 52:20 77:11 81:11 **perhaps** 55:18 62:14 85:10 86:16 82:15 outcome 30:24 70:6 90:12 period 11:16 29:19 31:8 Partially 30:17 44:16 outdated 28:2 particular 35:6 periods 4:17 **outer** 36:6 parties 6:5 15:17 90:13 **permit** 59:12 outline 7:9 23:21 40:7 partner 54:1 66:17 permits 81:1 82:14 outside 32:17 partnership 26:10 28:21 permitting 39:25 32:23 64:3 72:3,9 overall 68:3 **person** 56:6 70:23 90:10 partway 47:11 overlapping 22:25 23:12 57:2 86:6 personally 22:18 49:14 party 46:16 **owed** 62:19 perspective 15:6 21:9 passion 87:24 29:18 30:11 50:2 owned 43:3 58:25 passive 77:24 62:18 63:8 64:1,10 owner 68:8,18 69:17 past 9:9 28:5 41:5 **Phase** 30:13 55:16,25 63:6,9 65:5 owners 20:21 68:10 pick 37:19 39:3 76:22 77:1 owner's 69:18 80:6 88:13 pathways 16:22 ownership 51:18 picked 37:5 38:20 patronizing 77:15 Oyster 2:8 4:1 5:25 6:8 picking 36:12 pavement 6:15 20:7 24:4 30:8 31:18 picture 28:19 85:10 40:20 52:5,15 53:25 pay 25:21 64:13,14 58:25 59:4,14 63:1 pictures 83:2 86:17

64:16 74:20 75:8,11

pier 77:21 pointing 87:23 presentation 55:20 62:16 63:18 84:19 piers 24:18,21 points 20:14 85:7 **pike** 76:8 Point's 80:15 presentations 79:24 Pillar 74:20 77:16 pond 38:18 presented 63:12 80:8,12 ponding 4:17,23 6:9 presenting 65:16 **pipe** 55:3 22:1,8 preserve 66:9 pivot 40:21 **poorly** 25:17 pretty 9:1 27:9 40:23 **placed** 24:13 popular 80:10 67:3 74:11 places 74:7 portion 3:21 prevent 6:19 67:17 31:18,20,25 32:16 **plan** 4:23 5:4,6,7,12,17 50:15 87:4 **previous** 10:1,18 6:11,14,16 7:4,7,9,15,23 8:2,17 **posed** 62:17 previously 30:6 50:15 21:16 23:19 28:1,9 **price** 25:21 position 9:17 10:14 34:19 38:16,22 26:7,13 40:25 41:1 prior 39:15 87:18 39:16,18,22 40:11 48:3 49:6,14 50:3 43:20,24 47:7 53:10 **privy** 34:4 positive 42:23 58:20,21 59:6,8 74:19 proactively 82:10 **possibility** 31:12 32:19 planning 27:15 39:19,20 probably 23:23,24 40:12 40:3 54:20 possible 43:6 46:21 60:9 67:20 73:2 74:8 48:8 53:11 64:5 **plans** 2:10 4:3 6:13 78:9 87:19 28:18 29:4 44:15,20 possibly 28:15 55:19 problem 12:4 20:5 68:2 46:19 47:1,4,6 postulated 55:16,24 problems 54:5 **play** 30:6 **pot** 26:23 67:13,15,24 74:16,25 **plays** 31:3 potential 15:14 27:13 proceed 25:25 please 13:7 61:14 75:4 29:13 51:5 62:17 proceedings 1:3 3:1 76:18 pleased 64:11 14:2 15:3 90:7 potentially 6:9 38:18 plenty 68:9 process 39:19 44:16,23 49:23 52:20 81:4 65:13 70:23 73:3,9 **plow** 68:13 powered 23:3 procured 41:14 **plus** 73:22 Powers 2:15 48:17 produced 17:23 60:12 point 2:8 4:2 5:25 6:8 **PRA** 11:19 41:14 8:24 9:4 15:11 17:14 productive 84:18 19:10 20:8 21:25 preclude 14:2 **profit** 68:17 22:11 24:4 27:23 30:8 predates 34:19 31:18 35:18 36:19 profitable 20:24 40:9,21 41:24 43:7 preliminary 65:9 progress 51:13 65:17,20 48:21 51:1 52:6,15 73:19 86:14 preparation 59:9 53:25 54:1,21 59:1,4,14,15,19,25 **prepare** 44:15 progressively 18:14 63:1 64:17 65:4 70:4 project 21:13 33:20,23 prepared 6:7 7:9 9:11 74:20,21 75:8,11 39:22 44:12,13,24 77:14,16 80:8,12 preparing 5:16 23:8 51:8 59:10 73:22 81:2,19 83:10,11 presence 82:3 projected 33:24 86:13,25 present 3:15 46:16 pointed 54:14 63:16 projections 27:21 61:17 86:11

33:20 37:18 41:23 projects 51:3 65:1 quandry 25:25 51:9,19 52:1,8,14,21 promote 82:23 83:17 quarterly 74:6 53:1,14 54:3,6 58:11 **prone** 23:17 question 7:19 11:4 69:9 71:18 15:1,7 32:4 33:17 72:4,11,17,20 74:2,15 proper 15:8,11 71:19 57:15 64:20 81:7 76:24,25 77:25 78:1,8 properties 68:13,15 86:19 80:7,10 83:1 87:15,17 74:4 88:5 questions 18:25 29:1,8 property 21:6 43:16,17 35:9 reason 54:6 81:18 50:11 **quite** 17:7 20:24 rec 81:19 68:8,9,10,11,16,18 36:12,16 49:17 50:13 69:17,18,19 recall 14:16 31:10 55:13 56:19 58:11 proposal 5:15 70:7 73:2 receipt 5:13 proposed 8:13 59:5,8 receive 30:2 **quo** 33:5 76:17 protect 66:18 received 4:15 8:10 **quoted** 59:20 protection 2:10 4:2,21 receiving 74:5 5:7,9 7:4 26:18 40:19 recent 79:12 rack 81:7 **provide** 11:5 12:9 13:13 recently 62:19 14:12 22:21 41:13 racks 81:14 56:8 recognize 75:17 76:4 radio 80:4 provided 6:4 7:25 41:20 recognizing 42:4 85:12 rain 6:10 providing 13:12 46:5 recollection 13:16 raised 33:1 35:6 recommend 22:16 64:12 public 2:7,13,22 raising 17:17 3:20,21,22 4:4 11:11 recommendation 61:18,24 12:23 14:7,19 15:23 ramp 24:12,14 36:20,22 recommendations 46:16 19:11,14 44:23,24 ran 52:3 53:15 62:7 65:6,23 recommended 40:12 69:6,7 range 40:19 record 4:12 12:11 62:7 75:10,13,16,18,20 ratchet 29:21 70:3 84:1 90:7 77:3 **rate** 74:25 records 11:11 22:14,18 publicity 80:3 27:3,6 rather 13:21 35:17 publicly 24:3 66:20 recreation 53:4 77:24 **public's** 20:18 78:4 reach 15:13 19:10 35:22 pulled 11:14 12:19 70:20 82:10 **refer** 32:6 **purely** 26:12 reading 17:11 46:14 reference 4:12 58:16,24 60:10 72:18 purpose 46:14 referencing 49:3 **real** 85:10 pursuant 59:5 referring 11:9 realistically 31:11 pursue 5:3 61:24 62:9 refined 65:13 reality 42:20 55:15 66:8,13 reflective 65:2 63:19 putting 6:17 65:1 85:1 reflects 35:22 realize 71:25 **regain** 31:17 realized 60:1 quality 2:12 4:4 7:2 **regard** 33:17 really 10:17 11:24 52:1 19:9,19 25:3,6 regarding 2:8,15 4:1

27:14,16,25 28:11

77:9 48:16 REPORTER'S 1:3 Regional 2:11 4:3,18 reporters@uccellireport revenues 65:6 75:16 6:13 7:2,10 40:8 44:5 ing.com 1:24 revetment 35:12 regrade 10:15 reports 4:17,20 46:18 review 7:11,17 9:22 regrading 6:14 21:20 26:22 41:9 request 11:11,19 73:24 41:15,19 regular 36:2 reviewed 42:1 requested 12:13 46:6 regularly 37:18 reviews 77:1 requesting 46:9 rehabilitate 59:13 ride 77:18 required 2:10 4:3,20 reinvestment 25:19 **rights** 31:18 requirement 4:19 **relate** 11:25 ring 42:16 requirements 56:7 related 64:16 90:13 **riprap** 10:11 requires 30:22 relationship 29:12,13 33:11 43:5 59:23 research 58:10 rise 18:17 19:8 64:21 68:5,6 69:10 27:15,21 39:20 researched 26:17 70:17 71:15 **rises** 18:15 researching 59:23 relationships 85:14 rising 5:10 20:3 Reservation 32:1 relatively 22:4 38:12 road 1:22 20:24 64:2 reserves 26:18 relevant 12:21 28:3,8 **robust** 65:18 82:17 resolution 5:19 43:8 73:2 **role** 69:19 resolve 74:25 remains 9:17 62:23 63:3 roll 3:11 remarkable 77:21 resolved 20:17 roller 20:12 remember 10:8,9 19:18 resolving 73:6 room 1:14 9:7 41:6 61:7 79:19 resource 81:3 48:11 **remind** 45:24 resources 4:14 75:20 Rosenberg 9:12,14 11:7 reminding 46:1 **respective** 5:15 7:16 12:4,15,17,20,23,25 remiss 65:22 9:7 90:14 14:6 16:9,12,15,21,25 17:3,5 23:4,5 26:21 **renewal** 74:14 response 5:17 27:1 32:3,8 34:19,24 rent 74:1 81:15 responses 23:8 35:3 48:8 renting 80:13 responsibilities 29:22 rosier 63:20 repair 59:3 responsibility **RPR** 1:19 9:10,16,20 11:15 **repairs** 6:25 45:8 86:11 **rules** 78:25 17:8,10 26:15 43:2 repaving 6:15 68:2 **rumored** 26:25 replace 30:23 34:10 responsible 15:13 run 48:11 76:10,13 59:4 16:1,3 18:21,22 56:24 77:20 67:10 85:20 report 7:12 8:25 42:2 runners 53:11,12 60:12 62:11,12 63:1 rest 71:7 running 17:12 77:11 65:17 74:1 rests 69:16 rush 41:16 50:2 reported 1:19 90:8 result 11:10 68:22 **reporter** 23:13 90:9 revenue 30:2 55:21 S Reporters 1:21

68:12,14 69:5 74:5

sails 80:18

42:5 75:13,18,19 San 1:1,15,22 separating 65:6 2:11,17,18 4:3 5:19 **sequel** 14:8 Silicon 1:23 17:23 20:21 40:2 similar 40:1 56:23 server 11:6 42:12 48:17,19 58:14,17,25 79:14 services 77:3 **simple** 17:15 47:22 90:3 66:20 86:20 session 61:17**Santa** 81:13 **simply** 77:1 **setting** 2:20 84:4 saw 10:8 59:25 Simultaneous 22:25 **settlement** 14:1,22 23:12 57:2 86:6 scale 39:11 15:3,24 18:3 sinking 17:4,14 20:4 scavenger 26:18 settlements 18:4 55:10 **schedule** 4:22 5:8 6:22 **several** 49:20 84:17 sit 13:8 62:2 64:2 88:3 47:19 **sewer** 24:23 **site** 18:5 24:1 30:2 scheduled 5:12 **share** 5:16 10:25 12:17 51:10 54:9 59:10 school 79:7 21:20 46:20 50:7 70:10,13 71:2,6 74:17 51:16 65:11 schooled 63:19 78:17 85:8 87:1,5 **shared** 7:14 41:6 70:6 **sitting** 72:6 87:25 science 65:10 shares 65:2 situation 18:16 25:18 **scope** 33:12 27:22 28:4 **sharing** 5:21 23:24 51:2 **sea** 5:10 10:10 18:15,17 Six 45:2,3 19:8 27:15,20 35:18 **she's** 8:6 84:2 39:20 55:8 sizing 61:10 shocking 25:5 **sec** 23:19 **skirt** 24:11,14 **shore** 35:25 **second** 5:18 84:21 **skirt's** 24:20 Shorenstein 30:8,11 85:5,7 31:5,16 32:6 33:7,22 **SKS** 30:9 33:22 section 18:2 Shorenstein-SKS 51:6 **slips** 52:10,13,17 60:15 sections 20:7 61:1,10 shoreside 59:11 seeing 9:3 27:18 74:6 **small** 58:25 shoring 55:9 seek 62:9 **smaller** 52:10 56:8 **short** 86:7 seem 20:25 21:5 28:8 social 82:2 **shorthand** 1:21 90:9 seemed 41:3 **solely** 40:25 46:17 **short-term** 2:9 4:2,21 seems 9:24 28:3 36:11 5:4 6:11 7:4 **solution** 16:13 20:18 84:13 8:3,13,17 21:13 22:7 somebody 83:2 38:22 40:22 43:23,24 **seen** 33:20 41:11,22 **somehow** 29:21 33:7 47:6 73:21 86:2,4,5 51:25 78:14 80:7 87:1 60:24 **shot** 35:17 selection 9:2 someone 68:4 **showing** 35:11,21 38:15 **send** 68:17 82:16 somewhere 23:8 55:21 **sense** 26:9 28:5,20 34:1 **shown** 6:16 22:2 35:24 **sooner** 88:23 51:2 **shows** 35:14 **sorry** 23:13 32:3 83:25 sent 79:20 sort 19:13 20:20 21:8 **sides** 78:15 sentence 18:4 27:18 28:7,25 62:1 sight 29:20 **separate** 63:9 67:1 64:7 71:19 73:15,16 75:16 **significant** 18:8 20:10 80:10

70:18 75:19 82:10,11 sorted 20:6 struggled 76:24 84:20 85:9 87:16 sound 42:17 **struggling** 42:22 53:12 staffs 9:8 **sounded** 49:18 **studied** 61:19 **staff's** 50:2 62:16 63:8 sounds 16:11,14 19:1 **studies** 5:25 10:4 64:1,10 78:19 **stuff** 14:11 41:23 71:12 staff-to-staff 15:10 **source** 77:24 73:18 88:2 **stand** 48:1 51:13 **sources** 39:24 55:22 **sub** 38:19 standards 34:15 35:1 subcommittee 1:7 3:23 **south** 1:1,15,22 2:18 standing 3:9 5:19 17:23 20:21 **subject** 6:9 36:2 35:11 36:4 48:18 stands 50:7 subjected 67:17 51:12 58:24 79:14 standup 80:9 **submit** 4:21 **spaced** 22:16 **stand-up** 80:14 **submittal** 4:19 7:4 **speak** 9:12 14:14 19:14 **start** 14:7 28:6 43:2 65:24 66:22 **submitted** 5:4,17 6:13 44:11 66:21 74:16 **speakers** 2:22 22:25 7:7,13 39:18 43:20 **started** 65:13 75:3 47:6 23:12 57:2 86:6 **starting** 19:25 38:25 subscribed 90:15 **speaks** 14:11,12 48:4 73:23 **subsequent** 5:13 14:2 **special** 3:8 67:7 82:13 starts 44:4 15:16 **specific** 11:24 12:12 **state** 4:13 59:6 90:1,9 subsequently 4:25 39:23 statements 69:25 subsided 24:17 specifications 47:9 states 9:19 subsidence specifics 39:22 18:9,10,14,17,23 19:8 stating 7:3 specified 67:2,3 20:8,10,14 25:2,4,8 **status** 33:5 76:17 specifies 66:21 42:5 **steeper** 35:12 **specs** 47:11 **subsidize** 66:24 69:2 **step** 8:20 19:22 20:4 spelled 68:1 subsidy 66:9 41:9 **spend** 28:25 68:23 success 30:21 stepping 82:2 **spent** 10:21 successfully 76:25 **steps** 2:19 39:20 78:20 **spirit** 53:19 88:11 **sue** 59:21 83:21,22 84:4 **suggested** 49:9 80:1 **spoke** 9:15 **Steve** 2:14 4:5 sporadic 22:2 suggestion 84:15 stifled 56:7 **spot** 37:11,17 39:3 53:1 suitable 5:5 stop 18:10 73:19 78:24 **square** 30:13 **sum** 64:18 storage 81:13 **ss** 90:2 summary 45:6 **storm** 6:18 stabilize 25:20 **sums** 21:9 storms 6:24 **staff** 5:13 7:6 supervisors 66:14,15 **story** 25:16 27:5,18 10:1,2,19 11:2 77:7 **striper** 79:21 41:5,17 45:15 46:9 support 13:18 59:11 49:24 61:16,19,22 strongly 29:22 supporting 46:13 62:5,12 63:23 structure 24:18 64:22,24 66:7 69:8 supposed 67:24 68:23

69:2 81:13 83:5 84:22 terminal 54:4,8,13 87:22 **sure** 7:22 11:7 12:1 termination 33:2,14 13:2,10 17:20 28:22 49:7,19,23 62:4,15,17 They'd 31:25 33:15 43:10 45:22 73:12 76:16 they're 7:13 11:11 49:15 51:21 55:4 terms 6:5,6 66:22 67:5 12:23,25 20:3 25:16 57:17 64:5,9 71:9 40:15 58:5 66:13 68:8 74:7 82:12 86:14 **Terra** 27:12 69:12 74:15 80:12 terrific 33:23 surface 18:4 83:3,7 territory 59:2 **survey** 6:8 38:17 they've 34:4 39:17 47:6 60:21 66:14,24 67:16 test 58:1,5 suspect 31:1 third 63:14 65:4 swap 31:17,25 32:5 **Tetra** 40:14,16 33:10,18 thousands 11:21 thank 3:7 4:9 7:18 8:18 9:13 23:1,10 40:17 **system** 63:17 three-day 83:14 62:21 70:2 87:16 thrilled 53:21 88:8,12 89:11 Τ throughout 22:2 thankful 88:10 table 31:12 45:24 53:20 throwing 53:15 73:17 73:13 Thankfully 22:16 Thursday 1:11 3:10 taking 11:15 40:2 43:2 Thanks 66:2 49:5 81:17 83:2 84:25 ticking 86:10 that's 3:6 6:2 7:24 85:11 8:16 10:23 16:6,19 tidal 36:2 talk 14:4 23:14 43:11 17:11 18:12,19 19:13 tide 4:18 6:19 19:22 67:12 21:8,17 22:9 23:22 35:18,19,23,24 36:5 25:12 28:23 31:1,21 talked 39:23 64:19 75:9 38:19 32:19 33:16 76:12 78:23 84:6 tides 5:10 6:10 37:4,9,17,22 talking 12:2 45:14,15 38:5,14,15,25 39:3,7 timeline 44:4 50:5 66:13 69:13 40:12,14 44:10 45:19 78:17,20 83:20 tipping 19:10 48:10,12,14,24 52:4 talks 17:24 18:3 28:8 53:19 55:10 57:15,17 Title 34:15,20 59:23 61:3 63:20,23 66:25 today 28:8 33:3 42:2 64:5,17 67:6,25 68:25 task 28:24 44:12 48:12 53:20 69:7,21 71:22 72:5 84:13 85:1 88:1 tax 50:11 55:23 74:2 75:15,21 76:14 78:5 79:9 80:16 82:21 to-do 13:16 teaching 80:12 83:16 85:22 89:12 Tom 3:4 9:7 83:20 team 52:4,5 **theirs** 66:25 Tom's 78:9 Tech 27:12 40:14,16 themselves 68:17 topo 6:11 35:18 technical 4:20 12:7 theoretically 62:16 topographic 6:8 38:17 **Tel** 1:23 there's 10:3,7,20,22 toss 28:6 tenant 30:13 55:22 19:6 24:11,12 26:2,9,14 27:4 29:16 total 17:13 tenant-landlord 26:13 32:15 36:1,21 37:16 totally 36:18 67:12,18 41:1 46:7 48:4 52:19 69:17 81:6 tend 26:7 54:6,7 55:2 57:20 touch 89:3 ten-year 64:23 62:3 67:15 68:4 69:3 71:4,8 73:18 75:19 tough 28:11 term 29:8,14 64:23 86:7 79:20 80:3,14,16

tour 24:6 towards 21:14 61:25 toxic 67:25 track 25:20 66:13 traffic 36:13 trail 53:1,8,11 75:13 77:17,18 trails 77:17 83:7,8,9 transcribed 90:8 transcript 1:3 90:7 transition 51:5 transitioning 51:17 **tree** 17:16 tried 63:16 **trimming** 17:16 **trip** 83:14 trouble 85:13,23 truck 70:20 trucks 71:4 **true** 25:13 69:7 90:7 **truth** 69:8 **try** 9:9 23:13 41:16 58:1 68:16 74:25 81:11 83:3 87:11 88:13 trying 17:12,25 74:17 79:24 85:4 turned 30:25 turns 35:14 **TV** 80:3 **Twitter** 82:20 type 55:8,9 IJ **UCCELLI** 1:21

Uh-huh 32:21 37:2 86:12 **Ullom** 2:24 65:25 66:2 69:7 70:2 unable 15:13 31:9

underneath 24:20 68:1 understand 7:22 8:21 15:4,24 16:2 18:1 23:10 26:13 29:12 40:25 48:2 50:3 63:4,24 understanding 9:25 18:12,20 72:23 86:15 understood 13:5 28:13 56:25 undertaking 73:7 unfortunate 18:16 unfortunately 27:1 55:4 57:20 81:8 Unless 34:22 38:2 **update** 65:16 72:20 updated 72:24 updates 21:17 29:11 upgrade 52:8 upgraded 52:2

upgrading 51:4,10 **upon** 87:3

upper 39:4

useful 30:25 46:8 72:17 **user** 78:8

users 52:18 77:12,13 78:15 usually 26:6

utilities 24:24 25:20 utilized 50:12,15

V

Valley 1:23 valuable 26:1 87:4 valves 6:18 various 70:21 71:5 **vast** 10:15 Ventures 30:8 **venue** 62:13 **verify** 38:19

versus 16:17 58:15,18 vessels 80:8 **viable** 50:16 74:18 view 25:21,22 52:22,25 visit 24:1,4 54:9 70:10 78:17 79:23 87:1,5 **visited** 79:14 voluntary 62:3

W wait 15:8 32:3 58:20 60:16 **waiting** 48:23 wake 61:18 62:11 walk 24:19 25:6 50:17 87:12

wall 10:11 55:8 wasn't 24:14 49:5 60:5,6 71:11

waste 67:25 **WATA** 54:11

water 2:11 4:4,13,17 7:2 19:22 24:23 35:22 36:9 38:18 67:25 82:6 83:8,9

waters 6:19 Waterways 58:19

ways 72:11 74:24 82:5 83:17

website 22:22,24 27:19 81:25

websites 33:22

we'd 55:23 65:18

week 23:24 24:3 44:13 48:9 65:10

weeks 43:25 44:9,10,14,17,19,22,2 5 45:2 46:25 47:10,12 89:3

weigh 8:23 57:1,9,18 **weighs** 56:17

73:9,22 81:10 weird 37:17,25 **welcome** 88:23 working 7:6 33:10 40:15 41:23 47:3 51:24 56:2 we'll 3:8,10,24 10:3 70:17 71:20 73:10 12:8 16:4 23:24 47:4 workings 68:10 89:15 works 2:13 4:5 12:10 we're 12:2 13:20 16:3,5 31:5 33:8 54:16 88:19 17:12 19:5 21:25 22:1 23:16,17 26:3 27:18 89:9 28:14 29:11 40:7 world 48:3 42:22 45:14,15 **worried** 47:12 47:14,15 52:13 54:20 55:8,14 56:1,2,7 61:1 worse 18:14 65:14 73:13,23 worth 49:12 74:3,4,6,22 76:3,7 77:10 78:1,17,19 worthwhile 71:13,23 82:23 **worthy** 63:7 we've 10:14 18:16 20:12 write 16:4 24:21 25:1 45:16 47:3 51:25 55:13 56:4,5 writing 13:17 49:10 57:3 58:23 60:15,16 written 76:6 65:8,20 73:21,22 www.uccellireporting.co 75:12,13,14 76:7,15 79:18 80:7,11 84:6 **m** 1:24 whatever 12:10 19:3 55:3 88:18 Yacht 77:14 **WHEREOF** 90:15 year's 79:20 whether 13:11 26:17 49:6 56:22 73:10 yesterday 21:19 77:17 **yet** 23:4 27:7 35:12 whole 20:7 35:2 41:13 41:20 50:19 74:11 75:22 you'll 16:4 37:20 38:20 who's 67:10 71:14 69:21 whose 68:1 yours 67:1 willing 50:14 62:10 you've 16:1 33:1 67:6 72:22 81:2 84:20 wind 80:16 81:3 86:24,25 88:11 windsurfing 81:3 winter 6:23 44:1 Z **Zollars** 1:19 90:21 wisely 68:15 **WITNESS** 90:15 **WOMAN** 88:23 wonder 13:11 wondering 14:17work 6:23 13:4 15:9 33:5 43:5 47:19 51:14

60:24 61:25 62:5